

Root Area Transition Zone – RATZ and Reduction O&M cost of WT blades

EUDP project 64015-0602 – Final Report

Date: 01-02-2019

Authors: Find Jensen¹, Margrethe Werk¹, Andrei Buliga¹, Theodoros Pardalakis¹, Christian Berggreen², Jacob Waldbjørn², John D. Sørensen³, Yi Yang³, Torben Lindby⁴, Rune Kirt⁵, Søren Horn⁶, Lars J. Nissen⁷, Mads Lübbert⁷, Johnny Plauborg⁸, Christian Løjtved⁹, Birgit Junker¹⁰, Nicolas Quievy¹¹, Hans Møller¹², Rikke Balle¹³, Daniel Nies¹⁴, Kai Grigutsch¹⁵, Amilcar Quispitupa¹⁶

- 1: Bladena
- 2: DTU Mechanical Engineering
- 3: AAU Civil Engineering
- 4: LM Wind Power
- 5: Kirt x Thomsen
- 6: Guide2Defect aps
- 7: DIS Engineering
- 8: Total Wind
- 9: ECC
- 10: E.ON
- 11: Engie
- 12: EWII
- 13: Vattenfall
- 14: Nordex
- 15: UL (former DEWI OCC)
- 16: DNV G



List of Abbreviations

AAU	Aalborg University
AEP	Annual Energy Production
CBM	Condition-based Maintenance A maintenance strategy that recommends maintenance actions based on the information on the current damage severity.
CSSD	Cross Section Shear Distortion
CVI	Close Visual Inspection A close examination by visual and/or tactile means of an installation, assembly or specific item to detect damage, failure or irregularity.
DC	Damage Category Damage category is used to quantitatively characterize a damage by its size
EUDP	Energy Technology Development and Demonstration Program
FEM	Finite Element Method
G2D	Guide 2 Defect A database that contains various blades' failures, obtained from inspection reports. The failures are organized in different categories
GVI	General visual inspection A general examination by visual means of an interior or exterior area, installation, assembly or specific item to detect obvious damage, failure or irregularity.
ISP	Independent Service Provider
LE	Leading Edge The front side of the cross section that is facing the wind

LTT	Leading Towards Trailing The direction which points from the leading edge towards the trailing edge
NDT	Non-destructive testing Non-destructive testing is commonly used to localize and size defects in structures. The detection ability for the NDT method is defined as a function of a defect size, through probability of detection curves.
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
PM	Preventive Maintenance PM is the planned maintenance of plant infrastructure and equipment with the goal of improving equipment life by preventing excess depreciation and impairment.
PoD	Probability of Detection The probability of detection is used to quantify the ability of a non-destructive testing procedure for detecting a damage with a given size. For wind turbine blades, there are a few non-destructive testing procedures that are usually used.
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
RATZ	Root Area Transition Zone The zone between the root of the blade and the max chord area
TE	Trailing Edge The back side of the cross section
TTL	Trailing Towards Leading The direction which points from the trailing edge towards the leading edge
WP	Work Package
WT	Wind Turbine

Contents

List of Abbreviations	2
1 Project details	5
1.1 Acknowledgement	5
2 Short description of project objective and results	6
2.1 English version.....	6
2.2 Dansk Version (Danish version)	6
3 Executive summary	8
4 Project objectives	17
4.1 Main project objectives and implementation	17
4.2 Risk in the project.....	19
4.3 Milestones.....	20
5 Project results and dissemination of results	21
5.1 Test and demonstration of the Floor™ technology	21
Standardization and combined load	29
5.2 Fracture Mechanical modelling and testing	37
5.3 Cost and reliability strategy development.....	42
5.4 Knowledge platform for the value chain	45
6 Utilization of project results	48
7 Project conclusion and perspective	48
7.1 Main conclusions from the RAZ project.....	48
7.2 Future perspectives	50
8 References	52
Appendix A Cost & Reliability and Guide2Defect	53
Appendix B Fracture Mechanics	58
Appendix C Large-scale test (Journal paper)	61
Appendix D Data report: Full-scale test of LM58.7m blade with fatigue loads	81
Appendix E Data report: Full-scale test of LM58.7m blade with combined static loads	82

1 Project details

Project title	Root Area Transition Zone – RATZ and Reduction of O&M cost of WT blades	
Project identification (program abbrev. And file)	RATZ Project	
Name of the program	EUDP	
Project managing company/institution (name and address)	Bladena ApS, Universitetsparken 7, 4000 Roskilde, DK Project manager: Find Mølholt Jensen Mail: fmj@bladena.com	
Project partners	AaU Civil Engineering DTU Mechanical Engineering Vattenfall E.ON Statkraft Engie EWII Total Wind A/S Blaest	DEWI OCC DNV GL Nordex LM Wind Power Guide2Defect Kirt x Thomsen DIS ECC
Bladena CVR	34208433	

1.1 Acknowledgement

Bladena and partners would like to acknowledge the Energy Development and Demonstration Program (EUDP) for financial support under the grant number: 64015-0602 RATZ Project. Furthermore, acknowledgement goes to LM Wind Power who has donated a LM58.7m blade to be used in the full-scale test and demonstration performed in the project.

2 Short description of project objective and results

2.1 English version

The main objective of the RAZ project has been to develop and demonstrate the performance of the Floor™ technology which is intended to strengthen the root transition zone of wind turbine blades and thereby mitigate the risk of damages, defects and failures in this region. Three blades have been tested with and without the Floor™ technology.

1. Full-scale testing of an SSP34m blade at Blaest
2. Full-scale testing of an LM58.7m blade at Blaest
3. Large-scale testing of an SSP34m blade at DTU Mechanical Engineering

The full-scale tests confirmed that critical damages in this region may in fact occur during edgewise fatigue testing and that the use of the Floor™ technology is able to stop the damage progression. Further, the results confirm the findings from field inspections and from theoretical predictions using advanced software tools.

The second main objective of the project has been to develop methods to evaluate and optimize O&M costs. This part of the project has involved representatives from the entire value chain of the wind industry - WTOs, OEMs, certification bodies, ISPs, solution providers and universities - have all come together.

The WTO Blade Group Network has participated actively during the project meetings, workgroups and seminars. Focus has been on how to incorporate combined loading test scenarios in the certification process and how to use NDT methods to detect damages.

During this project, transverse cracks were studied with the conclusion that they are highly connected to panel bending. The large-scale testing performed at DTU showed that torsional load that arises from the combination of simultaneous edge and flapwise loading on wind turbine blades, increases the out-of-plane bending of the trailing edge pressure side panels in the max chord area with 57%. This finding is of key importance since it most likely is the main reason why so relatively many transverse cracks are found in the field on blades in operation.

2.2 Dansk Version (Danish version)

Det primære formål med dette RAZ projekt har været at udvikle og demonstrere effekten af Floor™-teknologien, som tilsigter at styrke rod transition zonen på vindmøllevinger og derved mindske risikoen for skader, defekter og svigt i denne region. Tre vinger er blevet testet med og uden Floor™-teknologien.

1. Fuldskalatest af en SSP34m vinge hos Blaest
2. Fuldskalatest af en LM58,7m vinge hos Blaest
3. Storskalatest af en SSP34m vinge hos DTU Mechanical Engineering

Fuldskalatestene bekræftede, at kritiske skader kan faktisk opstå under kantvise udmattelsestests, og det ved brug af Floor™-teknologien er muligt at stoppe skadesudviklingen. Derudover bekræfter resultaterne fundene fra feltundersøgelser og fra teoretiske analyser udført ved brug af avancerede software-værktøjer.

Det andet hovedformål med projektet har været at udvikle metoder til at evaluere og optimere O&M udgifter. Denne del af projektet involverede repræsentanter fra hele værdikæden inden for vindmølleindustrien - WTO'er, OEM'er, Certificering, ISP'er, løsningsudbydere og universiteter er alle gået sammen.

Vingegruppenetværket af vindmølleejere har deltaget aktivt under projektmøder, arbejdsgrupper og seminarer. Fokus har været på, hvordan man kan inkorporere kombinerede belastningstestscenarier i certificationsprocessen, og hvordan man kan bruge NDT-metoder til at opdage skader.

Gennem projekterne, er transversale revner blevet analyseret og konklusionen er at disse er relateret til panel bøjning. Stor-skalatesten udført på DTU viste at vridnings laster som opstår fra en kombination af kant og flapvise laster på vindmøllevinger, øger ud-af-plan bøjning af bagkant tryk på side panelerne i maks korde området med 57%. Denne opdagelse er et hovedresultat, da det sandsynligvis er hovedårsagen til hvorfor så relativt mange transversale revner er fundet i felten på vinger i drift.

3 Executive summary

In this project focus is on the Root Area Transition Zone (RATZ or Root-Transition zone). The Root-Transition zone features a complex geometry with tapering panels in different directions. This makes the trailing edge transition zone vulnerable to out-of-plane deformations especially on medium-sized to large blades. Field damages in the trailing edge transition zone have been observed and documented under blade inspections and damages resulting in repeated repairs occurring in the same blade region during blade certification testing [1].

The continuous increase in size has a significant impact on blades' structural integrity, and as blades grow longer, the edgewise root bending moments increases with the power of 4 due to the increase in weight, if the theoretical scaling laws are used. The theoretical scaling laws imply that the blade mass is scaled up with a power of three, when the blade length increases [1], [2]. In practice, the industry has managed to optimize the blade weight, while the edgewise loading is scaled up by a power of around 3.5. However, since the annual energy production is scaled up by a power of two, a significant challenge arises for very large blades in terms of failure, especially at the root-transition-zone.




Figure 1: As blades grow in size the structural failure modes become rapidly more critical.


The challenges in the trailing edge root-transition zone is expected to grow as blades grow in length and weight. The LM58.7m blade tested in this project can already be considered a

small to medium-sized blade. When the blades increase in length, the need for a solution to accommodate the increased forces in the Root-Transition zone is required.

The RATZ project has demonstrated proof-of-concept for the Floor™ technology. Bladena will seek to implement the Floor™ solution in new-designs of blades working together with manufacturers and in selected cases as a retrofit solution in blades that have experienced serious defects during operation. The Floor™ solution offers a significant structural enhancement of the trailing edge transition zone. In fact, similar enhancements have already been deployed for many years in other industries, such as ship building. Having achieved a stronger Root-Transition zone several opportunities open-up to reduce production costs and/or increase energy output.

In Figure 2 the Floor™ technology owned by Bladena [3].

A) The Floor™ technology



B) Floor™ installed in an SSP34m blade.



C) Floor™ installed in an LM58.7m blade.




Figure 2: A) The Bladena Floor™ technology works as a horizontal shear web installed between the aft shear web and the trailing edge on the Root-Transition zone of a wind turbine blade. B) Floor™ is retrofitted in an SSP34m blade. It can be seen how the aft shear web spans out and connects with the trailing edge/blade root. C) Floor™ is retrofitted in an LM58.7m blade. The retrofitted Floors™ in the SSP34m blade and the LM58.7m blade are used for demonstration purposes in the RATZ project [4] [5] [6].

The Floor™ supports the complex geometry in the Root-Transition zone and reduces local deformations of bending in the trailing edge panel. The Floor™ solution adds a small amount of material to the weight. However, the increased structural strength - provided by the Floor™ - implies that material can be taken out of the blade panels, the net result being that the collective mass amount will be reduced. With less material in the blade the production cost is expected to be reduced, without including the cost of the extra element and extra joint. Therefore, it is expected that manufacturers will be interested in discussing cost-out projects with Bladena, using the Floor™ technology.

The structural reinforcement of the Root-Transition zone would allow for a wider max chord design, thereby increasing power output of the wind turbine. The increased aerodynamic output is not part of this project, but theoretically it should be possible to increase the AEP with up to 6%, see Figure 3.




Figure 3: The max chord itself can be increased in width and/or the max chord can be moved closer to the blade root. Both solutions will result in a higher AEP.


Together with LM Wind Power and Blaest (Blade test center in Aalborg, Denmark) Bladena has carried out a full-scale test on an SSP34m blade and an LM58.7m blade with edgewise fatigue loads [4] [6]. The first blade to be tested was the SSP34m blade and in Figure 4 the damage developed during test in the Root-Transition zone can be seen.




Figure 4: Left: Damage on the SSP34 blade, observed by visual inspection. Right: Thermographic photo of the same damage. The temperature at the damage location has been greatly increased.

The LM58.7m blade was severely over-tested to obtain the TE damage needed to demonstrate the function of the floor. Thus, the blade has shown sufficient strength to pass certification test load level. The blade performance was monitored by visual inspection and thermographic inspection performed by Blaest as well as Ultra Sound Scanning performed by Force Technology. Damages developed during fatigue in the predicted area in trailing edge transition zone, see Figure 5.

A)



B)



C)




Figure 5: A) The FEM model of an LM58.7m blade shows the blade from root to beyond max chord. Damages at the trailing edge of the LM58.7m blade were found at 6m and 8m from the blade root. B) Visual inspection of the blade shows damage grows on the LM58.7m blade tested in Blaest with high fatigue loads. C) Thermographic inspection confirms activity inside the blade material resulting in heated areas.

February 2019

After damages had been observed in the LM58.7m blade, the Floor™ was installed in the blade and the edgewise fatigue loading was continued for another 1 million cycles. During this extended testing, the progression of the damage was stopped, demonstrating that the Floor™ prevents damage growth in the trailing edge transition zone region.

As part of the standardization work package a full-scale test with a high static combined loading (combination of flap- and edgewise load) has been performed on the LM58.7m blade, see Figure 6.




Figure 6: A) and B) The full LM58.7m blade can be seen with clamps in the outer 40% of the LM58.7m blade. The blade is angled to accommodate the combined edge/flap load towards the floor. C) Red arrows are showing the force directions working on the clamps (by means of wires).

Combined loading is intended to simulate the real-life extreme load scenario of the blade. The principle of the combined loading test is included in “Owners Requirements” criteria, which a group of large WTOs are working on now. Today, the certification test requirements

do not require tests with combined loading. The purpose of the combined static test was to see if a medium-sized blade as the LM58.7m can withstand a static combined high load. The test setup has included the criteria, that the blade had to be able to locally deform freely as it would under field operation. The LM58.7m blade succeeded in maintaining its structural integrity during a series of combined loading tests. This shows that it is a feasible task to demand combined load testing of wind turbine blades as part or add-on to the certification process.

At DTU Mechanical Engineering an extensive sub-structural test of a 15m blade section of an SSP34m blade has been performed in the DTU Structural Lab test facilities at DTU Lyngby Campus. In addition to the fatigue testing of a blade section with and without the Floor™, the large-scale test has also been used to apply an advanced loading setup consisting of hydraulic actuators applied at the cut blade cross section, see Figure 7. This setup allows mimicking of a range of extreme and advanced loading conditions, which can be challenging to apply in conventional full-scale blade test centres, especially for large blades. The basic large-scale test setup can be seen in Figure 7.




Figure 7: A) The 15m blade section of an SSP34m blade is fixed at the root from two concrete towers connected with an anchor plate. B) At the 15m blade section, three actuators are connected to the blade using an insert into the spar-box of the blade. The actuators can introduce any combination of edgewise, flapwise and torsional forces on the blade.

The large-scale method of limiting the blade testing to the inner structural section of the blade and the mechanical load application method using structural actuators will possibly prove to be a big advantage for test centres regarding future testing of large blades. By only testing the inner structural blade section, three immediate advantages are prominent:

- 1) It will be easier and more cost effective to handle a blade section than a full blade.
- 2) The mechanical load application using structural actuators can apply any combination of edgewise, flapwise and torsional loads. This is not possible with conventional exciter-based fatigue loading.
- 3) The inner structural blade section is where most critical blade damages develop, due to the root-bending moment scales up faster than the mass increases and therefore, it is the root/transition zone that needs to undergo extensive testing.

Furthermore, on a longer time perspective, the possibility to apply any combination of loads at the blade cross-section using structural actuators, as seen in Figure 7, will make it possible to apply advanced hybrid testing technology for blade testing, where the outer part of the blade is simulated by a numerical model with potentially any loading condition applied. The simulation model, representing the outer blade region in a hybrid test, is actively controlling the structural actuators using input from sensors applied on the inner blade section being physically tested by the actuators. Hybrid testing will therefore make it possible to test a blade section under any arbitrary loading on the full blade. The hybrid testing technology has also been a part of the RATZ project, where the technology has been further developed for blade testing. Further details have been documented in academic journal papers.

Defects and damages in the transition zone may during operation develop and ultimately result in failures requiring exchange of the blade. Proactive and preventive inspections and maintenance can be a cost-effective approach to mitigate defects and damages. With the aim to develop methods and tools to minimize the costs for inspections, maintenance, repairs and replacement of wind turbine blades, the work in the Cost and Reliability work package has mainly been related to the package 'Optimized cost and risk solution' as illustrated in Figure 8.




Figure 8: The motivation behind the Cost and Reliability package. A specific issue can have different solutions and strategies to obtain the best outcome in terms of technical but also cost efficiency. The development of the package aims to process an issue with respect to technical assessment and cost and risk performance, in order to offer the user an optimized strategy both in technical terms but also in cost and risk.

RELIABILITY is an important part of the Cost and Reliability work package. Development of cracks and damages as function of time is subject to uncertainty, and therefore a probabilistic model is needed to describe this random behaviour. Two approaches have been considered in this project, namely a probabilistic fracture mechanics approach, and a reliability model based on a discrete Markov Chain modelling. The Markov Chain model has the advantage that it can easily be related to the discrete damage categorization used by many wind turbine owners. The disadvantage is that such a model only approximately can represent time-invariant uncertainties, e.g. model uncertainties, which on the other hand is possible by the more complicated probabilistic fracture mechanics model. A methodology is developed to calibrate the transition probabilities in the Markov Chain model using theoretical considerations from fracture mechanics and data from the inspection database Guide2Defect. Using the Markov Chain reliability model, it is possible to simulate lifetime realizations of cracks / damages which can be used for decision making as described in the following.

A framework for cost-optimal decision making with respect to planning of inspections and maintenance is developed and implemented for illustration in a tool. The approach is that different strategies for inspection type and time intervals and for maintenance/repair/replacement are selected, see Figure 9. For each of the strategies a generic COST mode is available for cost related to inspections, downtime, repairs, etc. The theoretical basis for the approach is the so-called pre-posterior Bayesian decision making which is used in other industries such as for Oil&Gas offshore platforms for cost-optimal planning of inspections.




Figure 9: The Cost and reliability package receives data from the wind turbine fields through Guide2Defect The Cost and reliability package can be used to estimate the most cost optimal strategies for specific wind turbine fields. Bladena gather knowledge not only from the wind industry but also from other industries such as oil and gas, the aircraft and the marine industry. The structural knowledge from Bladena are part of the building tools in the Cost and reliability package.

Combining simulations of a large number of realizations of potential damages / cracks with the selected strategies for inspections and repairs and the cost models the expected total costs in the remaining lifetime can be estimated, and the optimal strategy is the one with the lowest expected costs.

February 2019

Case studies illustrating the potentials of the cost and reliability package is developed. Some of the applications of the package are to support decisions on which inspection method to choose accounting for the cost and reliability of the inspection method, how long inspection time intervals can be used without increasing the maintenance costs too much, and which maintenance and repair strategy to use. It is also possible to simulate a strategy where no inspections and no maintenance are performed, and thereby estimate the Value of Information (VoI) obtained by a selected inspection and maintenance strategy.

In addition to data sets of inspected damages with associated repairs, theoretical deterministic models based on fracture mechanics, able to predict the damage size and criticality, can also be used both to predict the criticality of a specific damage at a certain point in time for a single blade, but when combined with reliability and risk analysis such models can be used to develop probabilistic damage assessment procedures, which are generic and able to be applied for any blade type and operational profile.

The work associated with damage tolerance in the RATZ project has been aimed at two different main focus areas, but where all activities have been on a preliminary investigative level. The focus areas include:

- 1) Doing preliminary investigations on the use of fracture mechanics for the analysis of damage tolerance for design of new blades, as well as damage assessment of blades in operation exposed to in-service damage, linked to new blade design standards from DNV-GL 2015, which have opened up for an optional use of fracture mechanics [7]. The actual work in the RATZ has been aimed at determining suitable fracture characterization methods for sandwich face/core interfaces (as an example), as well as developing analysis models and validating these models against component and full-scale tests in close cooperation with the work package on sub-component/structural test.
- 2) Initiating work on simplified fracture mechanics based models, which are sufficiently simple, so that they can be used in connection with reliability and risk analysis. The work has been carried out in cooperation with the work package on cost and reliability analysis and has been initiated based on existing analytical fracture models from the literature and are still on-going.

4 Project objectives

4.1 Main project objectives and implementation

The main activities in the RATZ project include five areas:

1. Test and demonstration of the Floor™ technology
2. Standardization and combined loads
3. Fracture mechanics modelling and testing
4. Cost and reliability strategy development
5. Knowledge platform for the value chain

Test and demonstration of the Floor™ technology

The main objective in the RATZ project has been to demonstrate the Floor™ technology as a solution to structural challenges in the root area transition zone. Two wind turbine blades, a 34m blade from SSP and a 58.7m blade from LM Wind Power, have been tested at Blaest test center. Both blades have been tested in edgewise fatigue tests with and without the Floor™ installed.

Furthermore, a second SSP34m blade has been tested in the large-scale test facilities (DTU Structural Lab) at DTU Mechanical Engineering in at DTU Lyngby Campus. This blade was also tested with and without Floor™. This blade was also exposed to fatigue loads but the testing also included several different load combinations.

The objective of the three fatigue blade tests has been to demonstrate that the Floor™ significantly reinforces the transition zone in blades, which constitute a challenging part of the blades. Further, to demonstrate that the Floor™ prevents fatigue damages in the blade shells, and if such damages have already occurred the installation of the Floor™ as a retrofit solution will prevent any further growth of these damages.

The actual Floor™ solution that was installed in the SSP34m blade and the LM58.7m blade required early product development of the Floor™ prototypes. The product development includes the design of the Floor™ and the optimal position of the Floor™ in specific blades. This work has been heavily supported by FEM simulations.

Standardization and combined loads

As blades increase in size the demand for complex (realistic) loading under the certification process increases significantly. Motivated by current IEC rules, where combined loading is not demanded for certification tests of wind turbine blades, two types of blades have been tested

February 2019

with combined loading, both with static and fatigue loads. The objective has been to develop and evaluate new load application methods for both static and fatigue testing. A 15m SSP34m blade section was tested with a complex (realistic) fatigue load scenario taking both edgewise, flap wise and torsional loads into account. A LM58.7m blade was tested with a combination of high static loading and the blade only had load clamps in the outer region of the blade, which allow for free movement of the blade profile in the inner part of the blade.

The main objective of the large-scale test has been to develop a new test setup which demonstrate that only the inner part of a blade is needed in order to reach the realistic cross-sectional deformations, e.g. bending of trailing edge panels. Out-of-plane panel deformations generate critical peeling stresses in bond lines and interlayer stresses between layers such as the sandwich face sheet and the sandwich core material. This way of testing a wind turbine blade is expected to be used by commercial test centers and manufacturers in the future.

As the second part of the standardization work package a relatively large blade, namely the LM58.7m blade, has been tested with combined static loading and a load application method with added torsion to the blade and allowed for free deformation in the inner structural part of the blade. The purpose of the test has been to show, that a large blade can be tested with high combined loading only applying loads in the outer region. This could therefore be a future add-on criterion to certification loading. The purpose of the test was further to evaluate the effect the newly developed way of applying the loading on the blade. The full-scale test was executed at in collaboration of Bladena, Blaest and LM Wind Power.

Fracture mechanics modelling and testing

To understand damage development in composite materials fracture mechanics is an indispensable tool. When it comes to wind turbine blades there are a strong need to understand the severity of damages identified during field inspection and in particular to obtain critical knowledge on the manners in which face sheet debonding or cracks in general will/ or will not develop to critical levels for the structural integrity of the blade. Fracture mechanics modelling and testing at DTU Mechanical Engineering have been used to investigate these questions.

As part of the large-scale test of the SSP34m blade, face sheet debonding in the trailing edge panels at the max chord area has been tested. Using a combined numerical and experimental approach, fracture mechanics has been used to estimate the growth rate of cracks for different sizes of debonded areas. Based on results from the fracture mechanics analysis, a debonded area was created and has been introduced in the 15m section of the SSP34m blade. With this approach by inclusion of fracture mechanics modelling and testing to gain

knowledge on damage growth and apply these results as input for the Cost and Reliability package developed by AAU.

Cost and reliability strategy development

AAU has developed a framework for assessment of Cost and Reliability. The framework helps to minimize expenses for maintenance and repair and to develop improved decision making for maintenance strategies. Focus has been on the two key topics in the RATZ project: Structural challenges in the Root-Transition zone and Transverse cracks at trailing edge panels.

Knowledge platform for the value chain

The RATZ project has also included activities related to the dissemination of knowledge and awareness in the wind industry about the structural issues caused by the increasing size of wind turbine blades.

This work has identified the need to develop a set of additional criteria for the certification testing of large wind turbine blades. This work has been labelled “Owners Requirements” because the additional criteria are meant to be included in the specifications used by the WTOs when they purchase new blades. The combined static full-scale test of LM58.7m blade has been done in relation to Owners Requirements, and the large-scale fatigue testing with combined loads may one day replace the sub-component fatigue test program, which is also part of Owners Requirements.

4.2 Risk in the project

One of the first obstacles facing the project was to find a 60m blade for full-scale testing. LM Wind Power solved this challenge by donating a LM58.7m blade to the project and transport was arranged and paid by TotalWind. LM Wind Power also accepted to take the blade back after the testing was completed, hence the project has no cost on scrapping the blade.

Another challenge was the design of the Floor™ prototypes. The Floor™ technology is meant as a solution to be used in new-design of wind turbine blades. In this project the Floor™ solution was retrofitted in already produced blades. The Floor™ boundary (bondline towards the trailing edge) used in the LM58.7m blade in the edgewise fatigue test was not designed to withstand the high edgewise forces and in the first try, failure happened in the bondlines connecting the Floor™ to the trailing edge of the blade. The test was successfully completed after a mechanical connection was implemented, see Figure 14.

4.3 Milestones

12 Technical milestones (TM) and 4 Commercial Milestones (CM) was defined prior to the project start and used to keep the momentum and direction of the project.

Technical Milestones	
TM1: Full-scale test of 34m blade without reinforcement completed (WP5)	DONE
TM2: First retrofit of Floor done (WP9)	DONE
TM3: Development of FEM models (WP6)	DONE
TM4: O&M model runs with real data including catastrophic failures (WP12)	DONE
TM5: Start testing blades with implemented floor (WP3)	DONE
TM6: Animation #1 - Technical Problem Highlighted (WP11)	DONE
TM7: Large-scale sub-structural testing of 15m blade section without reinforcement completed (WP4)	DONE
TM8: Large-scale sub-structural testing of 15m blade section with reinforcement completed (WP4)	DONE
TM9: Large-scale sub-structural hybrid testing of 15m blade section with reinforcement completed (WP4)	DONE
TM10: Fracture mechanical characterization completed (WP7)	DONE
TM11: Generic business case for each relevant market segment (WP12)	DONE
TM12: Software tool (WP12)	DONE
Commercial milestones	
CM1: Identification and handling of market barriers for Floor Solution (WP10)	DONE
CM2: Animation #2 - Solution Presented (WP11)	DONE
CM3: Guideline in Lifetime Prediction (WP1)	DONE
CM4: Guideline: How to use the new DNV GL Guideline with Fracture Mechanics (WP7)	Replaced*

*CM4 has been replaced with two fracture mechanic workshops and an artificial damage introduced on a SSP34m blade in Lyngby.

The project has followed the project plan except for three months delay. The delay was caused by the fact due to the fact that the RATZ partner/company TotalWind went into bankruptcy during the project. This problem was solved when another company volunteered to do the work of TotalWind. As a result, another company able to install the Floor™ in the LM58.7m blade had to be found.

5 Project results and dissemination of results

The five main areas in the RATZ project are the following:

1. Test and demonstration of the Floor™ technology
2. Standardization and combined loads
3. Fracture Mechanic modelling and testing
4. Cost and Reliability strategy development
5. Knowledge platform for the value chain

Below the activities and key results under each area will be highlighted and explained.

5.1 Test and demonstration of the Floor™ technology

The Root-Transition zone, defined as the geometric transitions from the round blade root to the aerodynamic blade profile, has shown to be a structurally challenging area of a wind turbine blade. This is because of the complex geometry with tapering panels in different directions and with double-curved properties

The Root-Transition zone is shown in Figure 10 as well as a retrofitted Floor™.




Figure 10: A) The Root-Transition zone of a wind turbine blade can be seen to be the transition between the round blade root and aerodynamic blade profile, typically ending before max chord. B) The Floor™ are designed to be retrofitted in the Root-transition zone of a wind turbine area between the aft shear web and the trailing edge. Here can be seen the first prototype of a Floor™ retrofitted in the SSP34m blade.

Further, the transition zone is where the edgewise shear forces are at their maximum, see Figure 11.




Figure 11: In A) and B) the two outer positions of a blade in edgewise fatigue is shown. The edgewise fatigue loading of a wind turbine blade will result in both global and local deformations. In the figure the high shear forces in the blade Root-Transition zone are marked with orange arrows and the local deformations out-of-plane are shown with black arrows. A crack due to fatigue can be seen forming in the trailing edge of the Root-Transition zone.

Damages and structural collapses in the Root-Transition have already been documented in the field and under blade testing. In the future, this is expected to be an even more severe challenge because as blades scale up in length, the edgewise root bending moments increases with the power of 4 due to the increase in weight, when the mass scales up with a power of 3.

To demonstrate the performance of the Floor™ technology and the positive effect of the Floor™ solution in the Root-Transition zone, three wind turbine blades have been tested in fatigue with and without the Floor™ technology:

1. Full-scale testing of a SSP34m blade with fatigue loads at Blaest
2. Full-scale testing of a LM58.7m blade with fatigue loads at Blaest
3. Large-scale testing of a SSP34m blade with fatigue loads at DTU

The Floor™ technology is intended to strengthen the Root-Transition zone of wind turbine blades and thereby mitigating the risk of damages and failures in this region. Both full-scale tests and the large-scale fatigue test confirmed that critical damages in this region may in fact occur during edgewise fatigue testing, and that the use of the Floor™ technology is able to stop the damage progression. The test results confirm the findings from field inspections and from theoretical predictions using advanced software tools.

Key results from the blade tests will be highlighted in the next sections. For more information on the two full-scale tests, see Appendix D and Appendix E.

Floor™ product development

The Floor™ product was originally meant to be a part of new-designs for large wind turbine blades. In the RAZZ project the tested Floors™ have been designed essentially as retrofit solutions. The Floor™ designs have been supported by FEM simulations and retrofitted in two SSP34m blades and one LM58.7m blade, see Figure 12.

A) Floor™ prototype #1
SSP34m blade, Blaest



B) Floor™ prototype #2
SSP34m blade, DTU



C) Floor™ prototype #3
LM58.7m blade, Blaest



Figure 12: A) and B) Prototype #1 and #2 are both designed to be retrofitted in a SSP34m blade. For each prototype, experience is gathered and used in the design for the next prototype. C) The Floor™ prototype are retrofitted in the LM58.7m blade which are almost twice as long as the SSP34m blade.

Three Floors™ has been designed, retrofitted in blades and tested in fatigue during the RAZZ project. The largest Floor™ was 6m long and installed in the LM58.7m blade, see Figure 12c and Figure 13.




Figure 13: The Floor™ in the LM58.7m blade started 3m from blade root and ended just before the start of the C-web 9m from blade root. In each end of the Floor™ a “fish-mouth” was introduced to prevent local stress concentrations.

Full-scale edgewise test of LM58.7m blade with fatigue loads

The Floor™ boundary (bondline towards the trailing edge) used in the LM58.7m blade in the edgewise fatigue test was not able to withstand the high edgewise forces and in the first try, failure happened in the bondlines connecting the Floor™ to the trailing edge of the blade, see Figure 14A.




Figure 14: A) The connection of the Floor™ to the trailing edge in the LM58.7m blade has disconnected from the trailing edge. In a video the gap marked by red lines can be seen opening and closing during the fatigue test. B) A mechanical solution with steel strips and bolts was implemented and the fatigue test continued.

The test was successfully completed after a correction of the design had been implemented, see Figure 14B.

The Floor™ is originally meant as a new-design product and the boundaries must be a part of the new blade design to withstand the high forces, so if the Floor™ should be used as a retrofit solution either the mechanical solution or an alternative connection need to be developed. In case this is chosen, an improved solution needs to be developed, e.g. a solution which does not contain any metallic parts.

In the other adhesive connection between the aft shear web and the Floor there was no problem. From both video documentation and measurements, it could be concluded that there was no visible or detectable deformation in this joint. Thus, the critical joint is only located in the trailing edge region. For more information on this full-scale test, with and without the Floor, see Appendix D.

Full-scale edgewise test of SSP34m blade with fatigue loads

A 34m blade from SSP-Technology was the first blade which was tested in the project. Edgewise dynamic loading was applied, see Figure 15.




Figure 15: A) Edgewise fatigue test with a rotating mass placed midspan of the blade B) A damage was detected in the Root-Transition zone both by NDT-techniques and different sensors e.g. Strain gauges. The damaged area is marked with a red circle, which is impossible to see on the picture, but measurement, movie and cut-out of the blade afterwards, showed no doubt that a large area has been damaged. C+D) After the test was completed, the blade was cut up in pieces and it was confirmed that the other laminate was debonded for the load carrying structure.

The full-scale fatigue test showed the same damage development in the Root-Transition zone as tested on another SSP34m blade tested as part of a certification process in 2001, see Figure 16.




Figure 16: A SSP34m blade tested in a certification test in 2001 under similar load conditions. The damage which arose in the Root-Transition zone, marked by the red circle, was visible at the surface, after the blade had been repaired.

The damage developed in a similar way and in the same location, in two “identical” blades, shown in Figure 15 and Figure 16. During a fatigue test -in a controlled test environment - the possibilities to observe damages are significantly higher, than if the blade is installed on a turbine. First, the blade is static during up tower inspection, and delaminated laminates will therefore bend outwards when loaded and cannot be seen. Also, noise originating from crack development, can obviously not be observed during up tower inspection, while during a fatigue test, noise from crack development is an important signal that crack is developing under the surface and between the layers. When noise was detected, a number of strain gauges were mounted in the damage area in order to measure the growth of the damage. This was done to ensure that the test would stop, before any catastrophic failure occurred in the blade in order to be able to initiate the next part of the experiment (with the Floor inserted). Before the blade could be cut-out Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) Inspection were used see Figure 17. Final confirmation of the damage was done after the testing was completed, by cutting the Root-Transition in pieces, see Figure 17.



Figure 17: NDT (Ultrasound) inspection was used to detect the size of the damage in the Root-Transition zone of the SSP34m blade.

The NDT inspection which was used to detect the damage below the surface was a method based on ultrasound.

When the damage was detected, and the size had grown to a size, where there was a risk for total collapse, a Floor was retrofitted, see Figure 18.

After installation of the Floor the damage stopped growing, which was the main objective of the demonstration testing.




Figure 18: A Floor inserted in the SSP34m blade at Blaest after the damage in the Root-Transition zone has reached a critical level.

Fatigue driven damages

A fatigue driven damage in the trailing edge panel was noted during the full-sale test, see Figure 19.





Figure 19: A clearly visible “damage” was visible during the fatigue test of SSP34m blade at Blaest.

The damage was identified as a sandwich face sheet debonding at the pressure side (inside face sheet) in the max chord region and could be identified only when the blade was subjected to the fatigue loads.

After an observation on the suction side panel at 6.5 meter from the root, it was depicted that there was an area where the panel deformed in a strange manner. In a video made during the test, an out-of-plane panel bending can be observed, see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LIK3-kPe0cY>.

After sectioning of the panel was performed, the face sheet debonding from the core was confirmed, see Figure 20.




Figure 20: Cut-out from the Trailing edge (max chord area) of the SSP34m blade tested at Blaest. The damage was confirmed while the size of the damage had been increased.

This phenomenon (face sheet debonding due to bending) has been further investigated and tested in the Sub-component testing and Fracture Mechanics part of this report.

Large-scale testing of the SSP34m blade with fatigue load

One of the main limitations of full-scale blade testing is the capability to accommodate large blades and to trigger the relevant failure modes during testing. An alternative solution to full-scale fatigue testing has been investigated in this project. The alternative consists of using only the inner part of the blade (the structural part) and test this using a novel approach of load application. The main advantages are, reduced testing time and the possibility to trigger the relevant failure modes during early blade development.

The SSP34m blade was used, with and without the Floor with the aim being to test if the Floor technology has the capabilities to carry a combination of flap-, edge, and torsional loads. The test is performed using an advanced instrumented fatigue testing method under a multiaxial controlled testing configuration in the DTU Mechanical Engineering strong floor structural test facilities (DTU Structural Lab) at DTU Lyngby Campus. The following section includes more details on the load configuration. The pictures in Figure 22 illustrate the installation of the Floor in the SSP34m 15m section.




Figure 21: Floor installed in Root-Transition zone of a 15m section of aSSP34m blade.

Installation of the Floor™, also went relatively smoothly this time, since experiences were utilized from the first installation + full-scale testing. Furthermore, less material was used for this installation.

Standardization and combined load

The combined loading tests were done in Aalborg, at Blaest test center. From a fatigue perspective the testing to be carried out at DTU, a load configuration was used to assess the fatigue combined loading with torsional loads.

Sub-structural scale testing provides a service link between laminate and structural scale testing within the industry. This setup enables structural assessment of the root and transition zone, which exhibits structural failure as a direct impact of the increased size of wind turbine blades. This hypothesis is from an operational perspective, supported by the Wind Turbine Owners (WTOs) who report an increasing number of transverse cracks. Regardless of the blade make or model, the transverse cracks are located in the transition zone and max chord region. These cracks typically require extensive repairs up-tower or on the ground which leads to loss of AEP due to downtime. Hence, the need to identify the root cause of these blade damages in the design phase is critical.

An initial load configuration capable of triggering the pumping/breathing behaviour, which is believed to be the source of damages in the root area transition zone, was developed. This load configuration was applied for a predefined number of cycles through the fatigue driven multi-axial sub-structural test setup. Through three-dimensional digital image correlation, the pumping/breathing behaviour of the curved panels in the root area transition zone is quantified periodically.

Current standardization rules do not demand combined loading, neither for static tests nor for fatigue tests. With the current testing methods, possible future demands from the certification bodies can be addressed.

Large-scale test of the SSP34m with torsion

At DTU the 15m inner blade section of a SSP34m blade has been subject to a number of combined loading scenarios following the edge fatigue testing with and without Floor™ was finished. The actuators applied at the end of the blade section (15m from blade root) facilitates any combination of edge, flap and torsional loads, see Figure 22.




Figure 22: Large-scale test setup in DTU Structural Lab of the 15m SSP34m blade section. A) 3D view of the applied actuators at 15m from blade root. B) The active coordinate system and notation shows edgewise, flapwise and torsional directions.

A parameter study of combinations of edgewise and torsional forces has been performed. The evaluated results are the out-of-plane deformation with focus on the pressure side panel. The out-of-plane measurements were obtained with a full-field 3D DIC system (ARAMIS 12M). An in-depth description of the parameter study and results can be seen in Appendix C. The load combination found to be most critical in terms of pressure side panel out-of-plane deformation can be seen in Figure 23.




Figure 23: A) and B) are showing the two opposite load directions for the most critical combination of edge and torsional loads tested in the large-scale parameter study of the SSP34m blade section.

In Figure 24B the out-of-plane deformation for the pressure side mid-panel is shown.




Figure 24: A) $B'(z)$ denotes the out-of-plane direction for the pressure side mid-panel. B) The max chord is at 7m from blade root on a SSP34m blade. The graph is showing the out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ for the pressure side mid-panel in the transition area of the SSP34m blade.

In Table 1 the out-of-plane deformation measurements for the critical load case described in Figure 23 are compared with the measurements from the corresponding load case without the torsion component. The torsion component increases the out-of-plane deformations with 58%.

Table 1: Two load cases with the same edge load component but one with torsion and one without torsion are compared. The out-of-plane deformation increases with 58% when torsion is applied. See also Figure 22 and Figure 23 for definition of “positive edge” and “negative edge”.

Out-of-plane deformation ($B'(z)$) [mm]			
Load direction	Edge	Edge + Torsion	Increase [%]
Positive edge	-5.27	-8.37	58.8
Negative edge	4.78	7.57	58.4




Figure 25: DIC result for the SSP34 for a load of +100kN

The loading on the 15m SSP34 blade has been compared to an equivalent load on a full SSP34m blade in FEM analysis, see Figure 27.

A combined loading scenario considering the flap wise loads at rated wind speed together with the edgewise loads is used in a base load for simulations of the full SSP34 blade. The edgewise loads are introduced in a distributed manner along the blade in the corners of the spar caps. Flap wise loads are introduced at $\frac{1}{4}$ distance from LE, where the aerodynamic center of the profile is located, in a distributed manner as well along the blade.

The substructure loads are introduced using a rigid bulkhead simulated using an RBE2 MPC, with an offset, to account for the eccentricity revealed through the substructure test.




Figure 26: Load introduction technique. Top: Aeroelastic loading. Bottom: Sub-structural load configuration.

In both cases, the load introduction technique will not create stress concentration areas on the blade, that might interfere with the results.

Non-linear simulations are carried out and the out-of-plane bending of the panels in max chord and transition area are evaluated in the gauge area for both models, see Figure 27.




Figure 27: Comparison of the out-of-plane bending obtained on a full SSP34m blade when loaded with aeroelastic loads to a 15m SSP34 blade section using sub-structural load configuration. The colours refer to strain levels.

Figure 27 shows, that the method of applying cross-sectional loading and testing only the inner structural part of a wind turbine blade can be used instead of testing a full blade with combined fatigue loading.

Large-scale test of the SSP34m with torsion loads

The method developed at DTU Mechanical Engineering for large-scale testing of wind turbine blades has the advantages of being able to be utilized for a wide variety of fatigue load cases. This includes the movement the blade would experience during field operation where a combination of loads is present, which results in torsional loads.

For a full blade these kind of fatigue loads have proven a difficult challenge for test centers, especially for the larger blades. But with large-scale blade testing only the inner structural section of the blade is tested. This is exactly the section of blades where most failures occur. At the same time, it will prove much easier to test a part of a blade that may be less than its full length than the full blade itself.




Figure 28: The figure is showing different load configurations possible to apply at the end of the 15m large-scale test of the SSP34m blade at DTU. 1) Force offset 1m towards pressure side. 2) Force, no offset. 3) Force offset 1m towards suction side. 4) Clockwise moment applied. 5) Counter clockwise moment applied.

Full-scale test of LM58.7m blade with combined load

The LM58.7m blade was also imposed under a full-scale static test with a static combined load. The blade withstood this amount of loading with no occurrence of failures. The forces applied during the static test are presented in Table 2, while a detailed description regarding the test setup and loading configuration can be found in RAZ - Data report: Full-scale test of LM58.7m blade with combined static loads [5].

Table 2: Applied loads at specific sections of the blade. All distances are from the blade root.

Blade section	Force applied [kN]	Force applied [Tn]
z = 39m	74	7.6
z = 44m	61	6.2
z = 49m	29	3.0
z = 55m	11	1.1

Clamps/forces only applied on the outer 40% of the blade permitting the inner 60% to move freely in terms of local deformations as breathing, panel bending and cross-sectional distortion in shear - called Cross Sectional Shear Distortion (CSSD). Furthermore, buckling of the trailing edge must be changed when clamps are supporting the trailing edge. By only having the clamps placed in the outer region, they do not “disturb” the midspan area, where buckling usually is most critical. In Figure 29 the clamps are shown in two different load configurations. The two different positions are used in order to introduce torsion to the blade, which is expected to affect the overall deformational behavior of the blade, both in terms of breathing and CSSD.

Original load application:



Offset load application:



Figure 29: The same test was performed with and without and offset of the load application point towards trailing edge. In the figure is shown the wooden clamp at 49m from blade root with the two different load applications.

As it can be observed from Figure 30 and Figure 31. The loading offset, indeed, affects the structural response of the blade, as both the inclination angle as well as the individual panel deformation are maximized in case of the offset.




Figure 30: Effect of the load offset on the resulted deformation of the pressure side panel.




Figure 31: At the full test loads the angle inclinations have been measured for three cross sections, $z=1m$, $z=24m$ and $z=40m$, marked by dots. In this figure the two load figurations “original load” and “offset load” are compared. It can be seen, that the offset load gives a higher inclination of the blade.

Under the scope of this project, a new measurement method was introduced aiming to capture the relative displacement of the suction and pressure side panels more accurately. As it can be depicted in Figure 32, two measurements are introduced connected at the pressure and suction side, while as a reference point the corner at the spar cap with the shear web is used. This is based on the assumption that due to the increased stiffness of the spar cap, the local deformation at that point are limited, thus only the individual movement of the two panels is captured.




Figure 32: Measurement method, capturing the individual panel movement of both the pressure and suction side. The denoting of PS and SS measurements refer to the pressure and suction side respectively.

5.2 Fracture Mechanical modelling and testing

The need for accurate models for the evolution of debonding damage in sandwich panels is crucial to the understanding of the damage severity, its rate of propagation, and to formulate adequate maintenance strategies. In order to fully understand the behavior of a large structure in operation, one must first gain sufficient knowledge of smaller elements subjected to known load conditions. Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM) offers a valid tool to implement the fracture evolution laws in a simulated environment, but this requires that a series of tests on small coupons are performed and validated before being applied to large scale components with high complexity. The pyramid diagram represented in Figure 33 represents clearly the principles of this Building block approach.




Figure 33: Building block approach: at the base, coupon tests are required to characterise a material and its failure modes, this information support the analysis of small elements with added complexity, one step above. Again, when sub-elements analyses are validated against experimental trials, this information are used to investigate one step above, and again until a full-scale analysis is developed to predict the behaviour of a real structure in operation.

Coupon tests

Several tests have been proposed to evaluate the debonding of the face-core interface, the double cantilever beam loaded with uneven bending moments (DCB-UBM) is a method proposed by DTU to carry out tests at different load configurations in a convenient laboratory environment. A more detailed description of the numerical model principles and validations is reported in Appendix B.




Figure 34: Schematics and example of a DCB-UBM test setup in operation. The independence of rotational moments applied to the two arms allows to test the debonding at the interface under several load modes (mode mixities).

A finite element model for the fracture mechanics is built and validated against experimental data and closed form solutions. The agreement between the results guarantees that the numerical model could be applied to more complex applications, where no known solution is available.




Figure 35: Detail of the DCB-UBM numerical model for the evaluation of the fracture toughness

Small scale element tests

Small element level tests were also conducted. Rectangular shape specimens extracted from a curved section of a SSP34 blade were obtained, and these were loaded in static and fatigue mode on a standard four-point bending test rig. With the introduction of a known defect at the top face-core interface, it was possible to obtain the buckling of face sheet loaded in compression, thus replicate the breathing effects seen on the large-scale test described in 5.1. Tests indicate that the debond generates a reduction in the bending stiffness of the panel (Figure 36), which poses severe risks in the operation of blades and is suspected to be cause more severe types of damages like transverse cracks. It was also shown that cyclic loading is responsible and effective in the enlargement of the debonded zone. A validation of these experimental data is under investigation. For more in-depth of the work and theory of fracture mechanics in the RATZ project, see Appendix C.




Figure 36: Static load four-point bending tests on SSP34 specimens, highlighting the loss in bending stiffness due to increase in the debonded area at the upper face having a net compressive load. Note that a crack in the lower face loaded in tension (A4) does not influence the behaviour of the beam and is equivalent to the pristine condition (A3).



Figure 37: Detail of the face buckling under compressive state loading. This is phenomenon additionally lowers the bending stiffness of the setup

Transverse cracks

Transverse cracks are frequent problem of varying severity on a wide selection of blade types. For that reason, the damage mechanism transverse cracks has been used in the RATZ project as a case study both for the Cost and Reliability package and as a study case for the fracture mechanical testing performed at DTU.




Figure 38: The figure shows three cross sections of a SSP34m FEM blade model. The undeformed blade elements are shown with white and the deformed elements are shown with grey. The out-of-plane displacement for the pressure side panel varies along the blade. For the first and the last cross section in the figure, the out-of-plane displacement are larger than for the middle cross section.

Every month Bladena receives several reports about transverse cracks. An understanding of the cause of these failures is expected in the large scale testing of the SSP34 blade with skin debonding.

SSP34m blade large-scale test with skin debonding

The object of this setup is to validate the propagation of debond damage in the large-scale setup of the SSP34 blade section. At the root transition zone, a circular debonded zone of known area was introduced into a repair (Figure 39) at the interface between outer skin and core. This defect generates a weak zone where loads concentrations are present, which are in turn responsible for the propagation of the damage. By replicating the loads occurring during operation the same damages can be reproduced and a full understanding of the origin of transverse cracks in the panels is expected.

Digital image correlation technique is used to evaluate the displacement of the outer skin under loading and estimate the extent of the damaged zone, both these inputs are used to validate the full-scale numerical model (Figure 41).



Figure 39: Debonded skin setup in SSP34 blade installed at DTU. On the right, a detail of the defect manufacturing highlighting the size of the defect introduced.




Figure 40: Hypothesis for the formation mechanisms of transverse cracks in blade panels. The initial debonded zone grows under cyclic loads until a critical size is reached, at which the skin starts to fracture due to high stress concentrations.




Figure 41: Surface contour plot of the out of plane deformation of the debonded area using Digital Image Correlation technique. The load applied on the backside of the top face shows the presence of a delaminated zone, although the precise extent of it is not clearly defined.

5.3 Cost and reliability strategy development

The reliability model is linked to the Work Packages 4, 5 & 7 which focus on the investigation into failure mechanisms by means of the fracture mechanics studies and laboratory tests (at coupon level, at sub-component level or at full-scale level). The primary purpose of the reliability modelling is to develop and describe a probabilistic model as basis for reliability analyses and integrate the damage propagation model into the probabilistic model to simulate deterioration processes. Based upon this, a probabilistic damage propagation model is developed to simulate the stochastic process of damage propagation as either a continuous or a discrete function of time, which constitutes the basis of the reliability model. The Guide2Defect database provides in-history inspection records which are used to calibrate the parameters (e.g. transition probabilities in the reliability model) of the probabilistic damage propagation model. In the RAZ project, a five-level damage categorization scheme is used based on a similar categorization applied by blade designers, operators and owners. In the damage categorization scheme, the continuous damage propagation process is discretized into 5 damage categories, each of which covers a range of crack/defect sizes. Each realization of the stochastic damage propagation process is a discrete step-wise time realization (Figure 42).




Figure 42: The figure shows one realization of a stochastic damage propagation. The damage state of the blade over time are shown by the green line. There will always be a probability for the damage state to jump to the next (more severe) level. At regular interval the blade is inspected (blue circles). A red circle marks the detection of a damage. Based on the chosen maintenance strategy only detected damage states of 3 or above are repaired (red cross). Not all damages are detected at inspection (due to the probability of detection). The Cost and Reliability package will create a high number (e.g. 5000) of realizations which are all a possible damage propagation outcome based on the input and the considered parameters and could all be shown as a slightly different version of this graph. Each realization corresponds with a probable cost over the blade lifetime and based on the mean values for all realizations an optimal maintenance strategy can be predicted.

Figure 42 shows an example of a realization of the damage propagation process. The figure also shows inspections, which may result in detection or no-detection of a damage depending on the reliability of the inspection technique modelled the PoD (Probability of Detection) which in a probabilistic way models the probability that a damage (of a given size and a given type, e.g. internal, surface) is detected by the inspection. Further, the figure illustrates that if a defect/crack is detected then a repair may be performed depending on the user chosen maintenance strategy.

A simulation-based probabilistic damage propagation model is developed with the calibrated model parameters based upon the Guide2Defect database. Based upon this model, the stochastic damage propagation process can be simulated many times. One simulation can be considered as a realization of the stochastic damage propagation process, which is used as the basic input for decision-making and cost estimation. The output of the reliability model can provide a decision maker with the following information, see Figure 43:

- How long time it takes for an initial defect to propagate to a critical size, namely the lifetime before a corrective maintenance must be done;
- If the decision maker knows how severely the blade is currently damaged, how much longer is the damage to stay at the current damage state before it jumps to a more severe state, and when should non-destructive testing inspections be conducted, and some necessary preventive maintenance should be planned.




Figure 43: Data obtained through planned inspections lead to different defect indicators. However, a defect indication does not contain information regarding how severe the specific damage is. The cost and reliability package, through the different technical modules and probabilistic models, “filters” the various indicators and different actions are obtained depended on the severity of the damage.

The overall purpose of decision-making is to minimize the total expected operation and maintenance (O&M) costs. Generally, a decision maker should decide which inspection method should be used to inspect the blades; how often the inspections should be conducted; and if a damage of a specific damage severity is detected, what should be done. A maintenance strategy can provide the answers to these questions.

The maintenance strategy constitutes the basis of the cost model. The actions that a decision maker takes depend upon the maintenance strategies. A maintenance strategy is usually composed of three fundamental aspects, namely the inspection method, the inspection intervals and some pre-defined decision rules on actions (e.g. repairs) to be done after the inspections have been performed. These fundamental aspects, like some basic building blocks used to construct a system, constitutes the main framework of a maintenance strategy. There are some possible options of each of these fundamental aspects for a decision maker to choose from. Possible options for each fundamental aspect can be freely combined with the options of the other two fundamental aspects. For example, one of possible inspection methods is combined with one of inspection intervals and one decision alternative, as illustrated in Figure 43 and Figure 44. This combination process is repeated until all the possible scenarios are covered. The probabilistic damage propagation model developed in reliability modelling is used to generate a number of realizations and based upon a large number of realizations the total expected costs is estimated as the mean of all costs associated with the simulated realizations. The total expected maintenance costs can be calculated based upon different combinations of inspection methods, inspection intervals and decision alternatives. The decision maker can choose the cost-optimal one and determine the inspection type and intervals accordingly.

Another important aspect of the cost model is to estimate the costs related to maintenance activities with the uncertainties considered. The maintenance costs are composed of logistic and inspection/repair costs.

The logistic-related cost is composed of the cost of waiting time, which for offshore wind turbines is due to waiting for an appropriate time weather window for repairing/replacing a blade, the vessel cost (daily rate or hourly rate) and the technician cost (daily rate or hourly rate). The costs for a vessel and technicians are slightly subject to the economic situation and can be considered constant in decision-making and cost estimation.




Figure 44: A specific issue can potentially have various solutions. The cost and reliability package, through the different modules, results to tailor-made maintenance strategies that are optimized in both cost and risk and offers the user the possibility to decide which strategy is optimum.




Figure 45: Cost Trend (corresponding to a specific maintenance strategy).

Figure 45 shows an example where the total expected cost for inspections and maintenance/repair is shown as function of the inspection time interval. In this example the cost-optimal decision is to choose an inspection time interval equal to 2 years. For more information on the Cost and Reliability activities and case studies, see Appendix B.

5.4 Knowledge platform for the value chain

The WTO Blade Group Network has participated actively during the project meetings, workgroups and seminars. Focus has been on how to incorporate combined loading test scenarios in the certification process and how to use of NDT to detect damages. This has been made possible by the number of partners from different areas of the wind industry value chain participation in the project and in close collaboration with the WTO Blade Group Network.

The strengthening of WTOs as a group to be reckoned with has an impact on the industry's and manufacturer's motivation to recognize blade damages and failures and the need to find solutions. In recent years awareness of blade damages not visible from the outside but starting inside the blade has had increased focus. In June 2018 a WTO seminar about Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) and how to apply NDT for blade inspections was held as part of this project.

Knowledge of blade damages has improved. One way of gathering damage knowledge is through the Guide2Defect (G2D) database which collect data from blade inspection reports

February 2019

delivered by the WTOs. The G2D database is a strong tool for statistical data on blade damages occurring at the field and are giving input to the Cost and Reliability package developed by AAU. Bladena adds knowledge of structural blade issues that based on blade scaling laws will only grow more critical in the future. All this are information for the WTOs to help them make plans and decisions according to strategies on how to run their wind turbine parks.

Further the objective of the project has been to develop methods to evaluate and optimize O&M costs. This part of the project has involved representatives from the entire value chain of the wind industry - WTOs, OEMs, certification bodies, ISPs, solution providers and universities - have all come together.

Dissemination of project results

The RATZ project has used a communication strategy to ensure that all partners and other interested parties had access to follow the project progress and results. This has been by use of professional visualization of project concepts from day one to the final stage and communication of results, e.g. in posters, figures and presentations. The project has a web project page and a web page with updated information and downloads. Additionally, four project News-Letters has been sent out as well as press releases. Naturally meetings between partners and work packages has been plenty as well as the Kick-off meeting, the Mid-Term meeting and the Final meeting.

As an important aspect to the project several workshops and seminars have been arranged during the 3-year project period e.g.:

- NDT-Seminar - Next Generation Inspection Methods
- 2 workshops on Fracture Mechanics
- 1-day seminar on Owners Requirements
- Several WTO seminars e.g. on the topic "Combined loading during full-scale test"
- Seminar on Cost & Reliability and damage database e.g. data from G2D-database

The seminars and workshop were mainly targeted the WTOs and providing a possibility for WTOs to gain knowledge and awareness of blade issues and to come with their input during discussions, which in turn has shaped the focus of the industry as well as the RATZ project.

A number of "tools" have been made in order insure an efficient communication between partners and industry in general. Two examples are presented below (Figure 46).

The Next Generation Inspection Reports (NGIR) have been developed in close correlations with WTOs to fit their needs for streamlined quality documentation on blade inspections and

blade repairs. As part of the NGIR package an interactive photocard to be used in the field for easier and photo documentation has been designed. In the RATZ project the NGIR instructions (x3) and reports (x2) and the photocard (x1) have been wrapped up and used for a blade conference in Gothenburg in April 2017, see Figure 46.




Figure 46: The NGIR reports were initiated during the former EUDP project and wrapped up in the EUDP RATZ project in 2017. The NGIR reports were developed to streamline the documentation of blade inspection and repair and to ensure a high quality on inspection and repair report. The popularity of the NGIR reports amongst WTOs have been a motivating factor to continue the discussion and effort to improve shared communication in the wind business. LEFT: An open inspection manual is lying on the table. RIGHT: A interactive photocard is being used in the field during blade inspection.





Figure 47: The Blade Handbook serves to streamline communication and understanding between different sections of the wind industry. LEFT: The Blade Handbook. RIGHT: Reading one of the chapters in the Blade Handbook.

RATZ Blade Handbook has been developed to streamline the way different sections in the wind industry understand and talk about blades, see Figure 47.

6 Utilization of project results

Knowledge for understanding failure mechanisms in the Root-Transition zone under extreme fatigue loading has proven very valuable. Bladena's patented Floor™ solution has been demonstrated to address the challenges manufactures will have when blades grow larger. Bladena will continue the dialogue with manufactures on how to utilize the Floor™ Technology in new blade design. It is Bladena believe that it can be very difficult, maybe impossible, to design a cost-effective very large blade, without utilizing the Floor™ Technology. Since the trend in the industry is to develop and design larger blades and lower LCoE it is of key important to be able to develop cost-efficient and reliable blades. Also, the thorough understanding of the importance of representing torsional forces in future full-scale testing in order to get representative panel deformations, which e.g. cause transverse cracks on the trailing edge panel in the max chord area, has proven very important. Finally, the Large-scale sectional test method developed at DTU Mechanical Engineering, is expected to be used in commercial test centers in the future.

7 Project conclusion and perspective

7.1 Main conclusions from the RATZ project

Floor™ technology has been demonstrated

The Floor™ technology has been demonstrated to mitigate damages in the trailing edge transition zone of wind turbine blades. The Floor™ technology has been demonstrated on three blades, two of them on full-scale with edgewise fatigue loads, and one on large-scale with combined fatigue loading. In the two full-scale test, the test was started without any Floor™ reinforcement. In both test damages appeared and expanded in the root-transition zone. Then the Floor™ was installed and the damage progression stopped. NDT inspections of the blade in the root-transition zone gave a clear vision of the extent of the damage at the time of the individual inspection. The third blade, tested in the large-scale test facilities in DTU Structural Lab at DTU Lyngby Campus, the Floor™ was installed before the blade test. Also, this test successfully showed the effect of the Floor™, since no failure was observed neither in the transition zone or in the adhesive connection between the Floor™ and the blade structure.

Standardization - Torsional loads increase risk of transverse cracks

During this project, transverse cracks were studied with the conclusion that they are highly connected to panel bending. The large-scale testing performed at DTU showed that torsional load that arises from the combination of simultaneous edge and flapwise loading on wind turbine blades, increases the out-of-plane bending of the trailing edge pressure side panels in the max chord area with 57%. This finding is of key importance since it most likely is the main reason why so relatively many transverse cracks are found in the field on blades in operation. Torsional loading and the resulting transverse cracks are not addressed in the certification based on full-scale testing, where torsional loads are not included.

Cost and Reliability

In the Cost and Reliability work package a reliability model is developed based on a discrete Markov Chain model closely linked to a five-step damage categorization scheme, utilizing information from fracture mechanics considerations and calibrated using inspection data from the Guide2Defect database. A generic cost model is developed including costs to inspections, maintenance, repair, replacements, downtime etc. The cost model is linked to the decision alternatives which are inspection type, inspection time intervals and maintenance/repair options. The cost-optimal decision is identified by a simulation based approach where the total expected lifetime costs are estimated and the decision alternative with the lowest costs is chosen. The procedure is illustrated by case studies which shows the large potentials of such a tool for providing decision support to wind turbine operators, owners and other parts of the value chain. Knowledge of blade damages has improved during the project, headed by Guide2Defect Aps which has a database, which collect data from blade inspection reports delivered by the WTOs. The G2D database is a strong tool for statistical data on blade damages occurring in the field and are giving input to the Cost and Reliability package developed by AAU.

WTO Blade Group Network

The WTO Blade Group Network has grown from 15 wind turbine owners to 37 and almost all the major WTOs are part of the network. The strengthening of WTOs as a group to be reckoned with has an impact on the industry and manufacturer's motivation to recognize blade damages and failures and the need to find solutions. The WTO-Blade Group Network often invite other participants from the value chain and especially the participating of RATZ-partners e.g. Blaest, DNV GL, DEWI OCC, Nordex and LM-Windpower have been participating in seminars with WTOs from the Blade Group Network. Most of the 37 WTO-members have been actively participating in project meetings, workshops and seminars arranged the last 3 years financed by this project. Focus has been on how to incorporate combined loading test

scenarios in the certification process and how to minimize Operation and Maintenance costs by choosing the optimal maintenance strategy. In the past it has not been tradition to consider use of NDT to detect damages, which cannot be seen from surface, but with the increased knowledge this is now part of the consideration choosing the optimal inspection methods. Both the technical and the cost aspect have been addressed on seminars.

7.2 Future perspectives

Floor™

The Floor™ solution was originally meant to be a part of new-designs for large wind turbine blades. In the RAZZ project the tested Floors™ have been designed essentially as retrofit solutions and it has been demonstrated on three blades that it is possible to install/retrofit a Floor™. In case this is done an alternative connection towards the trailing edge, need to be considered if the blades are large and the forces are very high. Bladena will also study the potential using the technology in a Cost-out project together with an actual case with a manufacturer(s). Also the increase in AEP, by increasing the width and position of the chord needs to be analysed more in detail on the actual design together with the manufacture(s).

Standardization - Torsional loads increase risk of transverse cracks

The loads in the root-transition zone drastically as blades grow in size and structural issues are expected to increase. The torsional loads will also increase significantly due to the higher tip deflection and the reacting aerodynamic forces in the other region where the tip deflection is highest. With the expanding understanding of loads and the root causes for structural failure mechanisms e.g. transverse cracks, blade failures can be prevented in the future by taken the right precautions in time. Utilizing new knowledge from this project that torsional loads are a key driver for the development of transverse cracks, it is expected that future blades will be tested taking torsional loads into account during certification.

History shows that it takes time to update certification standards and therefore it is most likely that manufactures will start to implement this together with test centres. Many wind turbine owners today are aware that they must clarify whether the turbine/blades they consider buying have passed additional testing and analysis in excess of what is required for the standard certification. Some wind turbine owners make technical due-diligences before making the decision on what turbine/blade to buy. Others work together with Bladena and other third companies to make a set of additional requirements, called "Owners Requirements". The set of requirements are closely discussed with a wide range of manufactures including LM Wind Power and Nordex which have both been a part of this project.

Cost and Reliability

The work from AAU lay a foundation for the industry to continue working with this field. EUDP has recently decided to support a new project (CORTIR) where more focus on risk and how to analyze this will be the main objective. Bladena will use the work which has been developed in this project and make a user-friendly Cost and Reliability tool named CAR-Tool. The tool will also include fracture mechanical models from DTU as well as structural understanding of blade failures developed in this project.

WTO Blade Group Network

The WTO Blade Group Network will continue in the new CORTIR project and EUDP is also financing a structured process working with full-value chain. Focus is to optimize the collaboration between WTOs, OEMs and Insurance companies. It is therefore of key importance that all three groups have decided to join the new CORTIR project, in total 23 partners.

8 References

- [1] F. M. Jensen, "Ultimate strength of a large wind turbine blade," Risø DTU, PhD Thesis, 2008.
- [2] P. Brøndsted and R. P. Nijssen, Advances in wind turbine blade design and materials, Woodhead Publishing, 2013.
- [3] F. M. Jensen, "Floor™ Patent owned by Bladena Aps". Patent WO 2008.086205, 2008.
- [4] "Data Report: Full-scale test of a LM58.7m blade with edgewise fatigue loading," Energy Development and Demonstration Program (EUDP) RATZ project, 64015-0602, Roskilde, 2019.
- [5] "Data Report: Full-scale test of a LM58.7m blade with combined static loading," Energy Development and Demonstration Program (EUDP) RATZ project, 64015-0602, Roskilde, 2019.
- [6] "Data report: Full Scale Test SSP 34m blade, Combined static load," Energy Development and Demonstration Program (EUDP) Experimental Research, Phase 2 , Risø, 2010.
- [7] DNV-GL, "Standard DNVGL-ST-0376: Rotor blades for wind turbines," DNV GL AS, 2015.

Appendix A Cost & Reliability and Guide2Defect



Closing Activity Report

Guide2Defect ApS Activities performed under Work Package 12

The key role of Guide2Defect under the RATZ -EUDP project 64015-0602, work package 12, was to provide updated and statistically significant defect data for the cost tool to be prepared by Aalborg University, and based on inspection reports received from the participants and other relevant parties.

During the project, Guide2Defect has increased the size of the Guide2Defect Reference Data Base, both based on inspection reports received from the participants, but also reports analysed by our Indian partner, Rotortech. The Indian partners time has been paid for his customers and has hence not been included in this project. The total amount of data retrieved and analysed by Guide2Defect is summarised below (Table 3):

Table 3: Analysed inspection Reports under the RATZ project.

Inspection Reports	1701
Projects	48
Turbines	760
Blades	1596
Defects	9913

Task 12.1: Update of Defect Categories in G2D Database

Prior to the start of this project, Guide2defect has already established a first version of the defect tree used to categorize the defects. This first draft was prepared as part of a previous EUDP supported LEX project. During this project, Guide2Defect has reviewed and updated the underlying defect tree by:

- Review of defects to control the fit of the defect tree to the defects identified
- Discussions with project participants and Rotortech
- Desk research.

Based on this work, the six additional defect categories was added, and the defect tree is considered to be complete for now, but will require another review, once defects identified by NDT will begin to be included in the data base. None of such inspections were analysed during this project, but will soon be received via RotorTech in India. The data base has been updated with the additional defect categories, and this hence satisfy the following deliverables:

- Deliverable 12.1.a: Top down defect threes for a) to d).
- Deliverable 12.1.b: Updated defect categories (as applicable) in G2D database.

The updated defect tree now comprised the following defect categories:

S-01-01: Cracks, Longitudual	S-03-01: Lost Dynamic Enhancer	R-01-01: Blade Replacement, Fire
S-01-02: Cracks, 45 degrees	S-03-02: Lost Lightning Receptor	R-01-02: Blade Replacement, Broken
S-01-03: Cracks, Transverse	S-03-03: Damaged Dynamic Enhancer	R-01-03: Blade Replacement, Exploded
S-01-04: Cracks, TL Cracks	S-03-04: Damaged Lightning Receptor	R-01-04: Blade Replacement, Large Part Missing
S-02-01: Spider Web	S-03-05: Leading Edge Foil damage	R-01-05: Turbine decommissioned
S-02-02: Grazing	S-03-06: Drain hole blocked	R-01-06: Blade demounted for replacement
S-02-03: Pin Holes	S-03-07: Blade Tip (stall regulated) damaged	O-01-01: Rain Guard Damaged or Misaligned
S-02-04: Flaking	S-03-08: Blade Tip (stall regulated) missing	O-01-02: Bolt Cover Damaged
S-02-05: Pitting Holes	D-01-01: Debonding, Bond Cap	O-01-03: Blade Bolt Damaged
S-02-06: Bullet Hole	D-01-02: Debonding, Ply No Bonding	O-01-04: Sealant worn-out, damaged or missing
S-02-07: Burn Marks/Lightning Damage	D-01-03: White Areas	O-02-01: Old Repair deteriorated
S-02-08: Contamination	D-02-01: Adhesive Bonds, Transverse	
S-02-09: Heather Grey	D-02-02: Adhesive Bonds, Open Tip	
S-02-10: Bulge/Uneven surface	D-02-03: Adhesive Bonds, Longitudual	
S-02-11: Rub Marks	L-01-01: Void/Air Pocket	
S-02-12: Chalking	L-01-02: Dry Spot	
S-02-13: Mold Marks	L-01-03: Wrinkles	
S-02-14: Deterioration	L-01-04: Discontinuation	
	N-01-01: No defect Identified	

Figure 48: Updated defect tree.

Task 12.2: Acquisition and entering of inspection reports retrieved from participating owners in G2D Database

As part of the project, Guide2Defect has acquired inspection reports from the participating wind turbine owners but have also included inspections report retrieved via our Indian partner, RotorTech. This work has tripled the number of inspection report analyzed in Guide2defect. The inspection report retrieved from participating owners has been analyzed by the either Guide2Defect partners or our junior associates trained to perform the analysis.

During this project, Guide2Defect has analyzed a total of 1701 inspection reports covering 1596 blades with a total of 9913 defects. The inspection report is distributed among the owners as per the below figure (Figure 49):

Project Code	Site Name	Turbine Family	Project Size	# of turbines	Owner	Count Turbines	Count Blades	Count - Inspection Reports	Count - Defects
33	Baillie	Nordex N90/2500	53	21	Statkraft	21	18	18	58
34	Sheringham Shoal	Siemens SWT-3.6-107	317	89	Statkraft	89	138	153	540
35	Alltwalis	Siemens SWT-2.3-93	23	10	Statkraft	10	18	18	369
36	Forest Creek I	Siemens SWT-2.3-93	87	38	E.ON	0	0	0	0
37	Forest Creek II	Siemens SWT-2.3-93	37	16	E.ON	0	0	0	0
38	Panther Creek I	GE 1.5	143	95	E.ON	28	84	84	866
39	Panther Creek II	GE 1.5	116	77	E.ON	0	0	0	0
40	Panther Creek III	GE 1.5	200	133	E.ON	0	0	0	0
41	Robin Rigg	Vestas V90/3000	174	58	E.ON	37	107	107	574
42	Kentish Flat I	Vestas V90/3000	90	30	Vattenfall AB	30	75	75	203
43	Stor-Rotliden I	Vestas V90/2000	80	40	Vattenfall AB	39	51	51	111
44	Stengårdsholma	Vestas V90/2000	20	10	E.ON	10	0	0	0
45	Champion (Roscoe I)	Siemens SWT-2.3-93	1265	55	E.ON	10	0	0	0
46	Roscoe	MWT-100-61	209	209	E.ON	17	0	0	0
47	Voskero	Vestas V52/850	60	7	Enel	7	21	1	3
48	Aspri Petra	NEG Micon NM52/900	20	22	Enel	22	66	66	83
49	Soros	NEG Micon NM52/900	12	13	Enel	13	39	39	41
50	Geraki	NEG Micon NM52/900	14	16	Enel	16	48	49	58
51	Monastiri I	Nordex N50/800	6	8	Enel	8	24	24	25
52	Monastiri II	NEG Micon NM52/900	11	12	Enel	12	36	36	40
53	Heliolousti I	NEG Micon NM44/750	8	10	Enel	10	30	18	19
54	Agios Kyrillos	Enercon E44/900	7	8	Enel	8	24	24	25
55	Koutsoutis	Enercon E44/900	12	13	Enel	13	39	39	41
56	Panagia Soumela	Gamesa G87/2000	14	7	Enel	7	21	21	26
57	Zoudochos Pigli	Gamesa G87/2000	24	12	Enel	12	36	36	36
58	Schkortleben	Siemens SWT-2.3-93	23	12	Engie	12	41	75	620
59	Mont de Bezard	Servion MM82/2000	36	18	Engie	12	36	36	398
60	Haute-Lys	GE 1.5	375	25	Engie	25	47	70	466
61	East Lake St. Clair	Vestas V90/1800	100	55	Engie	54	1	1	1
62	Campagnes	Ecotecnia ECO 80/1670	8	5	Engie	5	15	15	435
63	Chemin des Hagueneys	Servion MM92/2000	28	14	Engie	14	39	43	282
64	Longchamps	Ecotecnia ECO 80/1670	835	5	Engie	5	12	12	273
65	Baleni	GE 2.5	50	20	Engie	20	60	120	1924
66	Rézentières-Vieillespesse	Nordex N90/2500	20	8	Engie	6	1	1	10
67	Craigengelt	Nordex N90/2500	20	8	Engie	8	24	24	217
68	Blantyre Muir	Servion MM92/2000	6	3	Engie	6	18	18	134
69	Gemelele	Siemens SWT-2.3-101	48	21	Engie	21	63	63	864
70	Dabrowice	GE 2.75	36	13	Engie	13	39	39	189
71	Kerigaret	Acciona AW-1500/77	12	8	Engie	8	24	24	56
72	La Picoterie	Gamesa G90/2000	22	11	Engie	11	33	33	84
73	Tambours	Ecotecnia ECO 80/1670	8	5	Engie	5	15	15	334
74	Plontes Aux Roches	Vestas V90/1800	49	27	Engie	27	1	1	11
75	Kukru Wind Power Pvt Ltd	Gamesa G97/2000	50	25	Atria Power	25	75	75	224
76	Jamanwada	Suzlon S95/2100	53	25	Mytrah Energ	25	75	75	0
77	High Volts	NEG Micon NM80/2750	825	3	E.ON	3	0	0	0
78	Holmside Hill	NEG Micon NM80/2750	55	2	E.ON	2	0	0	0
79	Nipaniya	Inox DF100/2000	30	15	Mytrah Energ	15	45	45	41
80	G.V Palli Wind Power Proj	GE 1.7	26	15	Atria Power	15	45	45	161
81	Lamba	Nordex N27/200	1	4	Ineos	4	12	12	71
Totals						760	1596	1701	9913

© Guide2Defect Aps - 2019

Figure 49: Inspection reports analysed.

As part of this task, Guide2Defect should deliver two deliverables:

Deliverable 12.2.a: Updated baseline report to participating owners:

The baseline report was added to the owners' dash board and this was informed to the owners via mail dated January 10th, 2018.

Deliverable 12.2.b: Data report for financial models:

February 2019

Special dashboard with access to the relevant data was opened in the Guide2Defect, and access was given to Aalborg University on March 9th, 2018. Prior to this, the data – as they were at that time – was exported to a spread sheet, cleaned and organized, and forwarded to Aalborg University by mail dated January 8th, 2018.

Further to the deliverables, access has been given to Engie and Enel to dashboards with the same level of information as given to the participating owners. Engie has chosen to pay for an additional benchmark report, and this has been prepared outside this project.

Task 12.3: Vulnerability Analysis

The vulnerability analysis was not defined prior to the start of the project, and first task was hence to define, how the vulnerability analysis should be performed and what it should comprise. Guide2defect has carefully evaluated the context and has decided that the vulnerability analysis should combine the hit rate and the average time to reach the hit rate. Hit rate being defined – for each defect category – as the number of blades, which has been hit with defect grade 3 or higher of this particular defect. Bottom line, the higher hit rate, the higher vulnerability. The lower average clock hours, the stronger the vulnerability.

The project leadership decided to change focus of the analytical part of the project to transverse crack at the max chord section of the blades. This made the planned work in this task and the following task 12.4, 12.5 and 12.6 obsolete, and it was hence decided that Guide2Defect should focus the vulnerability analysis on the transverse cracks, but also should include the generic vulnerability analysis in the dashboards of the participating owners. This was confirmed in an email exchange between the work package leader and the project manager of the project dated August 20th, 2018.

As part of the work under this task, Guide2defect, had two deliverables:

Deliverable 12.3.a: Overall Vulnerability Analysis on the full population:

A full report for transverse cracks were sent to Bladena in mail dated November 5th, 2018. The report was prepared on turbine type level. The report was discussed at a meeting the following day.

Deliverable 12.3.b: Specific vulnerability analysis for each participating owner:

This has been incorporated in the dashboard on site and turbine level. This allows each participating owner to retrieve the analysis via their dashboard. The vulnerability is calculated for all defect categories.

Task 12.7 Guide2Defect Workshop

It was decided that rather than having one workshop demonstrating the data base to owners, how has already been introduced to it, to execute a number of seminars presenting the findings and challenges in relation to the cost and reliability. 4 such seminars have been held.

Deliverable 12.7.a: G2D workshop with course material:

- Animation video describing and introducing the Guide2Defect data base.
- Ratz Nordic blade group workshop, June 16th, 2016 in Kolding
- Mid-term meeting, June 2nd, 2017 in Aalborg
- Offshore Energy LEP seminar on February 22nd, 2018 at DTU Wind Energy
- Codan Cost and Reliability Seminar, April 30th, 2018 in Aalborg.

Task 12.8 – Tool for optimal planning of blade inspections

This task has been executed by Aalborg University, and will be reported separately by them. Outside the delivery of data under task 12.2, Guide2defect has actively participated in the review and commenting of the tool prepared either via project meetings or via review of information provided.

As part of the project, Guide2Defect has included a simple first-generation repair cost calculation feature in the G2D including a repair catalogue with associated cost. This feature currently is only workable on reporting level based on a high degree of manual input and should be developed further. Further, as a response to the extensive use of drones and simple photo documentation, we have also updated the Guide2Defect data base with a direct input section, allowing drone operator to use Guide2Defect as an inspection report generator. All data received via Rotortech has been entered using the direct input section.

Conclusion

Guide2Defect has fulfilled its role and delivered all task in accordance with the plan with the adjustments agreed during the project with the project management. Outside the value given to particular the cost and reliability tool, the project has supported Guide2Defect in enlarging the population of the database, improved the dash boards, but also prepared the Guide2Defect for further expansion and development of the data base, also considering future use of AI and lower cost inspection options.

Prepared by:
Søren Horn Petersen
Work Package Manager; Partner, Guide2Defect

Appendix B Fracture Mechanics

Tests on the DCB-UBM geometry are performed in both static and dynamic loads to derive the fracture toughness and the crack propagation rates at different mode-mixity phase angles.

One important advantage of this test setup is that the mode mixity is independent of the crack length, therefore it is possible to have a constant controlled crack propagation, in addition a single specimen can be tested repeatedly under multiple conditions. From an experimental perspective, this simplifies fracture testing as there arises no necessity to continuously monitor the crack length. The critical moment can be identified as the sudden departure in the slope of M vs. θ plot (Figure 50).




Figure 50: Typical moment (a) and energy-release rate (b) plots for DCB-UBM sandwich specimens. The initiation of fracture propagation and its relative toughness are highlighted.

The interface fracture toughness increased with mode-mixity phase angle and a phenomenological expression was utilized to express fracture toughness as a function of mode-mixity. The foam core specimens with E-glass face sheets showed fracture toughness values in the range 180 - 600 J/m^2 and the toughness values in predominant mode I and mixed mode conditions are comparable to the results obtained using the MMB and TSD test methods for similar materials tested in previous works.




Figure 51: Interface fracture toughness of the PVC foam core E-glass sandwich material. The analytical fit law is superimposed to the experimental data points.

Fatigue growth rates are derived from experimental trials, these are conducted using an advanced method for accurate tracking of the energy release rate amplitude, allowing to reduce the number of samples needed and the time required for a full test plan. These tests are repeated for different mode-mixities as well. For all cases, the R value of the load spectrum is kept unchanged.




Figure 52: Crack growth rate versus cyclic energy release rate for different mode-mixities.

The closed form solutions for the load case of this geometry are used to determine the energy release rates and the phase angle of the interface and populate the material data. These are additionally used to validate the numerical model using Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics formulations. The numerical model is applied initially to coupon scale tests, but is then implemented in a more complex geometry representing a circular defect of arbitrary shape embedded in a flat panel subjected to various types of loads. The evaluation of the energy release rate is done for both cases invoking a submodel run, where the stress conditions around the crack tip are extracted and a detailed simulation is performed to extract the crack front shape. Then, the Crack Surface Displacement Extrapolation (CSDE) method is used to determine the conditions of the crack front. This method showed to give satisfactory results in sandwich specimens in multiple previous and current works, and will be applied to the large scale testing of the debonded SSP34 blade once experimental results are available.




Figure 53: Finite element model of the disbonded foam core sandwich panel using a sub-modelling technique.

Appendix C Large-scale test (Journal paper)

Parametrical study at substructural scale level on out-of-plane deformation of the double curved trailing edge pressure side panels within the transition zone of an SSP34m wind turbine blade

Abstract

Transverse cracks on the double curved trailing edge panels within the max chord region and towards the root are one of the more increasing in field damages found on wind turbine blades. Believed to be root cause of these transverse cracks, the out-of-plane deformation of the double curved trailing edge pressure side panels – referred to here as the gauge zone – is evaluated on the inner 15m root section of a SSP 34m wind turbine blade – referred to here as the root section. Through a parametrical study, the free end of the root section is loaded in the quasi-static regime comprising two dofs including edgewise loading (F_y) and torsional moment (M_z) around the longitudinal axis of the blade. This particular load configuration is able to replicate the combined loading scenario to which the blade are exposed during real operational conditions. The root section is through a multi-scale numerical analysis found to be representative. A combination between F_y and M_z are found to generate the highest magnitude of out-of-plane deformation. A linear relation between each of the two dofs versus out-of-plane deformation in the gauge zone is identified. From these data, the out-of-plane deformation governed by a combination between the two dofs is found to follow the rule superposition.

Introduction

Wind turbines are progressively used as a substitute to fossil fuels enhancing the demand for larger and more efficient wind turbine blades. These demands yields for lighter, stronger and more reliable wind turbine blades that can withstand the highly dynamic forces governed by cyclic changes in gravity direction, centrifugal forces and changing wind conditions when sited both on- and offshore [1].

Wind turbine blades are usually made from composite materials including glass and carbon fibre reinforced plastics along with lightweight cores such as e.g. balsa, foam, etc. Hence, the ambition to improve the structural and operational performance within the industry of wind turbines has resulted in extensive research within design principles and material technology applied for wind turbine blades [2]. In these efforts, testing has primary been focusing on two length scales including laminate and structural scale testing [3]. A representation of the multi-scale approach for structural assessment of a wind turbine blade are illustrated in Figure 54.




Figure 54: Schematic of the multiscale approach for structural assessment of composite materials and structures for wind turbine blades.

Structural scale testing provides valuable knowledge concerning the structural behavior, however it is time consuming and expensive to perform due to the large dimensions of the wind turbine blade [4], [5], [6], [7]. Here the wind turbine blade is tested according to standard certification prescription including two principle components; in flap wise and edgewise direction. This is a significant simplification compared to the actual forces acting on the blade during service, which include both torsion and bending in different directions at the same time, which is not reflected in the standard today.

In order to investigate the material characteristics of the individual materials in the composite structure, coupon testing on laminate scale is conducted [8]. Such tests are performed on specially designed specimens, resulting in idealized stress and strain states. Consequently, they do not account for the complex stress states and interactions, which often occur within structural scale testing, leading to advanced failure modes among these mixed mode delamination, crack propagation, etc. Such failures often initiate from joints, bearings and other critical details [9], [10] thereby weaken the structure locally, changing the structural response both on a local and global scale.

Substructural scale testing provide a service link between laminate and structural scale testing within the industry of wind energy [11]. The scope of this paper is to present and demonstrate a multi-axial test rig for substructural scale testing on the inner 15m root section of a 34m SSP wind turbine blade – referred to here as the root section. This setup enables structural assessment of the root and transition zone, which exhibits structural failure as a direct impact of the increased size of the wind turbine blade [3]. This hypothesis is from an operational perspective, supported by the Wind Turbine Owners (WTOs) who are reporting a gradually increasing amount of transverse cracks cf. Figure 55 [12]. Regardless of the blade make or model, the transverse cracks are located in the transition zone and max chord region. These cracks typically require extensive repairs up- or down tower which, depending on the severity, in both cases leads to loss of AEP due to downtime. The need to identify the root cause of these blade damages in the design phase is for that reason obvious [13].



Figure 55: Extract of a blade inspection report showing transverse cracks in the max chord region on an infield wind turbine blade.

These structural failures is, among others, believed to be governed by out-of-plane deformations in the large double curved trailing edge aerodynamic shell panels located in the transition zone from max. chord to the stiff cylindrical root region [14]. These deformations, often referred to as 3D longitudinal out-of-plane bending, leads to critical bending stresses in the area where the trailing edge panels are connected/kinked onto the stiff cylindrical root section, which is believed to be the primary source of damage in that region [15].

The out-of-plane deformation is primary triggered by the edgewise dominated loading, which have to carried by the large curved shell panels [3]. Furthermore, a torsional moment around the longitudinal axis of the wind turbine blade is governed by the flap wise deformation, which acts as an in-plane eccentricity to the edgewise load [15].

Here the root section is utilized to evaluate the out-of-plane deformation of the large double curved trailing edge aerodynamic shell panels on the pressure side within the root and transition zone of the SSP 34m blade – referred to here as the gauge zone. Through a servo hydraulic load train, capable of applying a discrete load at the free end of the root section, a combined edge wise load and torsional moment around the longitudinal axis of the blade is applied in the quasi-static regime. Through high-resolution 3D full field measurements by digital image correlation (DIC), the outof-plane deformation within the gauge zone is acquired and evaluated through a parametrical study.

Quantification of the structural effect in terms of out-of-plane deformations within the gauge zone governed by the mechanical constraints applied by the load train is performed through a multi scale approach using Finite Element (FE) modelling. Here the out-of-plane deformations is identified for i) the root section with the structural constraints governed by the load train and ii) the structural scale model of the “stand – alone” SSP34m blade – referred to here as the full blade. With equally applied section forces within the gauge zone

of the root section and full blade, it is investigated whether the structural behavior in terms of out-of-plane deformations within the gauge zone are correlating between the two models.

Experimental test setup

A fatigue rated multi-axial test rig for structural assessment of the root section is established. A 3D illustration of the test setup including the load train and clamped support is presented in Figure 56:




Figure 56: 3D illustration of test setup.

The clamped support of the root joint is governed through a mobile vertical strong wall. This vertical strong wall consists of two concrete towers mounted to the strong floor using pretensioned thread bars. A steel plate with a width, height and thickness of 3.4m, 2.8m and 0.120m respectively is attached to the concrete towers using pretensioned thread bars. The test specimen is connected to the center of the steel plate using 54 pretensioned M30 thread bars. All critical pretensioned thread bars in the mobile vertical strong wall are monitored through donut load cells of the type: K-181/N550-G31.

The load train is capable of applying a discrete load at the free end of the root section comprising three independently operated degrees-of-freedom (dofs) including: flap- and edge wise loading (F_x and F_y) along with a torsional moment (M_z) around the longitudinal

axis of the blade. The servo hydraulic system is operated in load control with the format $[F_x; F_y; M_z]$ through a PID controller of the type MTS FlexTest using the MTS 793 software. The coupling between the control point, located in the center of the load carrying box girder cf. Figure 57b, and the corresponding force of each of the actuators are defined through the MTS 793.15 Degree of Freedom Control software following the assumption of rigid body motion. Related coordinate system and notation is presented in Figure 57b. The load is transferred to the free end of the root section through a bulkhead, which is extending 750mm into the free end of the load carrying box girder, fixed to the inner surface of both spar caps using glue and thread bars. Attached to the bulkhead is a moment lever, which accommodates the swivels of actuator A and B with an eccentricity of 1808mm capable of inducing an edgewise load (F_y) and torsional moment (M_z). Actuator A and B is a MTS model 244.31S, each providing a force capacity of $\pm 250\text{kN}$ with a static and dynamic stroke of 518.2mm and 508.0mm respectively. The hydraulic flow through the actuator is operated by two servo valves model MTS 252-25G-01 each with a capacity of 56 l/min. The displacement of the actuator is monitored by an internally mounted LVDT and the force measured by an MTS load cell model 611.22D-01 with a capacity of $\pm 250\text{kN}$. The flap wise loading (F_x) is induced through actuator C with the swivel attached to the installation plate on the pressure side of the wind turbine blade cf. Figure 57a. Actuator C is a MTS model 244.21 which provide a force capacity of $\pm 50\text{kN}$ with a static and dynamic stroke of 401.3mm and 381.0mm respectively. A servo valve model MTS 252.23 G-01 operates the hydraulic flow through the actuator with a capacity of 19l/min. The displacement of the actuator is monitored by an internally mounted LVDT and the force measured by an MTS load cell model 661.20F-02 with a capacity of $\pm 50\text{kN}$.




Figure 57: Test setup including: a) 3D illustration of the test setup and b) active coordinate system and notation.

The load train and clamped support presented in Figure 56 combine to form the fatigue rated test setup with a load capacity of $\pm 50\text{kN}$ and $\pm 100\text{kN}$ in the flap and edge wise direction respectively. Furthermore, a moment around the longitudinal axis of 100kNm can be introduced.

To avoid critical peeling stresses in the adhesive bond line connecting the trailing edge (TE) and leading edge (LE) panels with the spar caps, the free end of the root section is fully constrained against in-plane distortion. This is achieved by closing the cross section by installing plywood plates, which are over laminated using GFRP fabrics.

DIC measurements

The out-of-plane deformations within the gauge zone is evaluated on the pressure side of the root section through a full-field 3D DIC system of the type: Aramis 12M by Gesellschaft für Optische Messtechnik mbh (GOM GmbH). The white light camera setup and performance are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Setup and performance of the 3D-DIC system.

DIC zone	1	2
Technique used	3D image correlation	3D image correlation
Subset	35x35px	40x40px
Shift	6px (83% overlap)	6px (85% overlap)
Camera	Dalsa Falcon2 FA-80-12M1H with an 12-bit, 4096x3072pixel CMOS (6.00 μm pitch) sensor and 24mm lens	Dalsa Falcon2 FA-80-12M1H with an 12-bit, 4096x3072pixel CMOS (6.00 μm pitch) sensor and 24mm lens
Field of View	2275x1630mm (4096x2663px)	2250x1764mm (4050x2882px)
Measurement points	10878	9531
Displacement		
Spatial resolution	19.44mm, 35pixels	22.23mm, 40pixels
Resolution In plane	0.046mm, 0.082pixels	0.045mm, 0.081pixels
Out of plane	0.091mm, 0.16pixels	0.090mm, 0.16pixels

The gauge zone is separated in two zones named: zone 1 and zone 2 cf. Figure 58a. The out-of-plane deformation is monitored through a number of discrete measurement points located along a transverse and longitudinal path cf. Figure 58b, c.




Figure 58: Location, dimension and labelling of DIC zone 1 and 2.

Considering $A(z)$ and $C(z)$ as stiff points, the relative out-of-plane deformation of point $B(z)$ is derived with the longitudinal distance from the root z using the rigid body removal method cf. Figure 59.




Figure 59: Principle of the rigid body removal method.

Knowing the absolute measured deformation normal to the surface (along the y -axis cf. the local coordinate system in Figure 59) for each of the three points named $A(z)$, $B(z)$ and $C(z)$, the out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ is derived cf. eq. (1).

$$B'(z) = B(z) - \frac{A(z)+C(z)}{2} \quad (1)$$

The longitudinal path A, B and C cf. Figure 59b and Figure 59c are discretized in a finite number of points, which are aligned in the transverse direction with the longitudinal distance to the root z . Each group of aligned points represents a cross section in which the out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ is derived cf. eq. (1). The transverse path is likewise discretized in a finite number of points, where point $A(z)$ and $C(z)$ is defined as the two outer points located at TE and after shear web respectively. The out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ along the chord width x of each of the intermediate points are derived cf. eq. 1 in the trailing towards leading edge (TTL) direction.

Multi-scale numerical assessment of the out-of-plane deformations

A numerical validation is performed to reveal whether the gauge zone exhibits a representative structural behavior in terms of out-of-plane deformation with the mechanical constraints applied at the free end of the root section. This is done through a numerical assessment of the structural behavior at substructural and structural scale. Here the numerical assessment at substructure scale represents the root section presented above while the assessment at structural scale represents the full blade. The purpose is to create a link from blade field operation conditions to the substructural test methodology. A full set of aero elastic loads for the full blade have been used as a starting point. It is assumed that rated wind speeds will be the dimensioning loads on the blade during normal operation.

A combined loading scenario considering the flap wise loads at rated wind speed together with the edgewise loads is used in a base load for simulations of the full blade. The edgewise loads are introduced in a distributed manner along the blade in the corners of the spar caps. Flap wise loads are introduced at $\frac{1}{4}$ distance from LE, where the aerodynamic center of the profile is located, in a distributed manner along the blade.

With equally applied section forces within the gauge zone of the root section and full blade, it is investigated whether the structural behavior in terms of out-of-plane deformations within the gauge zone are correlating between the two models. The loads applied on the test specimen are introduced using a rigid bulkhead simulated using an RBE2 MPC, with an offset, to account for the eccentricity revealed by the combined edgewise load F_y and moment around the longitudinal axis of the blade M_z .

$$error = \frac{|B'(z)_{substructure} - B'(z)_{structure}|}{|\max(B'(z)_{substructure})|} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Non-linear simulations are carried out and the out-of-plane deformations of the panels within the gauge zone of the root section and full blade are evaluated, see Figure 58. The relative discrepancy between the out-of-plane deformation of the gauge zone within the root section and full blade is derived cf. eq. (2).




Figure 60: Comparison of the out-of-plane deformation obtained on a the full blade when loaded with aeroelastic loads vs the root section using the substructural load configuration.

The out-of-plane deformations seen in Figure 60 exhibits clear similarities between the two models. The results within the gauge zone correlates with an error of up to 0.1%. When moving towards the tip end of the root section, the measured out-of-plane deformations start to deviate significantly from the full blade due to the artificial constraints induced by the rigid bulkhead, see Figure 57a.

Results and discussion

The out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ within the gauge zone of the root section is evaluated in the quasi-static regime comprising two dofs including: edgewise loading (F_y) and torsional moment (M_z). Flap wise loading named F_x will remain zero throughout the entire test campaign. Through 20 load configurations, a parametrical study is conducted with the peak-to-peak loads given in Figure 61a. From P_{ip}^2 through P_{ip}^{10} each of the two dofs are operated in-phase (ip) cf. Figure 61b, while P_{oop}^2 through P_{oop}^{10} are operated with a phase shift of 90 degree cf. Figure 61c – referred to here as out-of-phase (oop). The load configuration P_-^1 and P_-^{11} are operated in a single dof configuration covering edgewise load (F_y) or torsional moment (M_z) respectively. Covering 49 equally distributed load steps forming a triangular waveform comprising 3 periods, both dofs are fully reversed loaded cf. Figure 61 b and c.




Figure 61: Load configuration including: a) parametrical study, b) in-phase and c) out-of-phase load steps.

The peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ acquired for the extrema load configuration named: P_{-}^1 , P_{ip}^7 , P_{oop}^7 , P_{-}^{11} are presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Key results concerning out-of-plane deformations acquired for load configuration: P_-^1 , P_{ip}^7 , P_{oop}^7 , P_-^{11}

Load config. [-]	F_y [kN]	M_z [kNm]	Dist. From root z [m]	Peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ [mm]
P_-^1	50	0	5.18	-5.27
	-50	0	4.16	4.28
P_{ip}^7	50	75	6.30	-2.96
	-50	-75	6.30	2.16
P_{oop}^7	50	-75	4.44	-8.37
	-50	75	4.12	7.57
P_-^{11}	0	75	4.14	2.82
	0	-75	4.14	-3.20

Based on Table 5 the highest magnitude of out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ is achieved within load configuration P_{oop}^7 . For that reason, the equivalent out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ along the longitudinal path B and transverse path located throughout zone 1 and 2 cf. Figure 58b, c is presented in Figure 62 and Figure 63 respectively.




Figure 62: Peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation in the longitudinal direction for load configuration P_{oop}^7




Figure 63: peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation in the transverse direction for load configuration P_{oop}^7 including: a) zone 1 and b) zone 2.

Following a triangular load pattern cf. Figure 61b within load configuration P_-^1 ($M_z = 0$ kNm), the out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ of point B within zone 1 and 2 as a function of the edgewise load (F_y) is investigated cf. Figure 64.




Figure 64: Out-of-plane deformation in point B as a function of edgewise loading when subjected to P_-^1 : a) zone 1 and b) zone 2.

Likewise is the out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ of point B within zone 1 and 2 investigated as a function of the torsional moment (M_z) cf. Figure 62, following the triangular load pattern cf. Figure 61b within load configuration P_-^{11} ($F_y = 0$ kN).




Figure 65: Out-of-plane deformation in point B as a function of torsional moment when subjected to P_{-}^{11} : a) zone 1 and b) zone 2.

From Figure 64 and 65 a linear relation is identified between a varying torsional moment (M_z) and edgewise load (F_y) versus out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)$ measured in point B within zone 1 and 2. Therefore, the out-of-plane deformation for a load configuration comprising both edgewise loading (F_y) and torsional moment (M_z) around the control point is approximated from P_{-}^1 and P_{-}^{11} through superposition. This hypothesis is tested in the following by comparing the actual measured out-of-plane deformation through DIC $B'(z)_{msd}$ with the approximated out-of-plane deformation from P_{-}^1 and P_{-}^{11} through superposition $B'(zz)_{spt}$. The peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation acquired at point B is presented in Figure 66 as a function of a varying edgewise load (P_{ip}^7 through P_{ip}^{11} and P_{oop}^7 through P_{oop}^{10}). A dashed cyan line represents the equivalent out-of-plane deformation derived through superposition.




Figure 66: Peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation in point B for a varying edgewise load: a) zone 1 and b) zone 2.

Furthermore, the peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)_{msd}$ is acquired at point B and presented in Figure 67 as a function of a varying torsional moment (P_{ip}^1 through P_{ip}^7 and P_{oop}^2 through P_{oop}^7). A dashed cyan line represents the equivalent out-of-plane deformation $B'(zz)_{spt}$ derived through superposition.




Figure 67: peak-to-peak out-of-plane deformation in point B for a varying torsional moment: a) zone 1 and b) zone 2

The relative discrepancy between the actual measured out-of-plane deformation $B'(z)_{msd}$ and the approximated out-of-plane deformation derived from P_-^1 and P_-^{11} through superposition $B'(z)_{spt}$ is quantified according to eq. (2).

$$error = \frac{|B'(z)_{msd} - B'(z)_{spt}|}{|\max(B'(z)_{msd})|} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Separating the 20-load configurations in Figure 61a into four load groups identified in the legend of Figure 68, the root-mean-square (RMS) error of eq. (3) for each point B with the longitudinal distance from the root z is presented in Figure 68.




Figure 68: Root-mean-square error at point $B'(z)$ when covering all load configurations with

The load configuration with a constant torsional moment (M_z) comprise a significant improved correlation between $B'(z)_{msd}$ and $B'(z)_{spt}$ relative to the load configuration with a constant edgewise load (F_y). The reason is most likely the poor linear relation seen in Figure 67, which is significant at $M_z = 25\text{kNm}$.

Conclusion

As a service link between laminate and structural scale testing within the industry of wind energy, a multi-axial test rig for substructural testing was demonstrated on the inner 15m root section of an SSP34m wind turbine blade. Through a servo hydraulic load train connected to the free end of the substructure, the blade was subjected to two independently operated dofs including edgewise loading and torsional moment around the longitudinal axis of the blade. This setup enabled structural assessment of the root and transition zone on

February 2019

which a gradually amount of transverse cracks were reported from blade inspection reports regardless of blade make or model. Leading to critical bending stresses in the area where the trailing edge panels are connected/kinked into the stiff cylindrical root section, 3D longitudinal out-of-plane bending were investigated within the large double curved trailing edge aerodynamic shell panels located in the transition zone from max chord to the stiff cylindrical root region. Through a multi-scale approach covering i) the inner 15m root section of the SSP34m blade with the mechanical constraints exhibited within the experimental substructure and ii) the structural scale model of the SSP34m blade, the mechanical response in terms of out-of-plane displacements within the gauge zone were evaluated using FE-modelling. With identical section forces within the gauge zone of the substructural and structural scale model, the results within the gauge zone correlation with an error of up to 0.1%. Through high-resolution 3D full field DIC measurements, the out-of-plane deformations within the gauge zone were acquired and evaluated through a parametrical study. Here an out-of-plane deformation within the gauge zone of up to 8.37mm were identified governed by the combined load configuration P_{oop}^7 , located 4.44m from the root. When operating each of the two dofs independently, a linear relation between a varying edgewise load and torsional moment vs out-of-plane deformation within the gauge zone were identified. This observation indicated that the out-of-plane deformation observed is triggered by the geometry of the shell structure and not instability, which is a non-linear phenomenon. Furthermore, it was found that the out-of-plane deformations governed by a combination of edgewise loading and torsional moment could be derived through the rule of superposition.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support by the Energy Technology Development and

Demonstration program (EUDP) under the grant number: 64013-0115. Furthermore, the authors acknowledge the Villum Center for Advanced Structural and Material Testing (CASMaT), Technical University of Denmark (DTU) for supplying laboratory facilities and technical support.

References

- [1] S. Singh, "Wind power: Future lies within," in 7th India International Conference on Power Electronics, Patiala, 2016.
- [2] O. T. Thomsen, "Sandwich Materials for Wind Turbine Blades - Present and Future," *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 7-26, 2009.
- [3] F. M. Jensen, "Ultimate Strength of Large Wind Turbine Blade," Department of Civil Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, 2008.
- [4] H. F. Zhou, H. Y. Dou, L. Z. Qin, Y. Chen, Y. Q. Ni and J. M. Ko, "A review of full-scale structural testing of wind turbine blades," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 33, pp. 177-187, 2014.
- [5] F. M. Jensen, B. Falzon, J. Ankersen and H. Stang, "Structural testing and numerical simulation of a 34m composite wind turbine blade," *Elsevier, Composite Structures*, vol. 76, no. 1-2, pp. 52-61, 2006.
- [6] H. G. Lee and J. Park, "Static test until structural collapse after fatigue testing of a full-scale wind turbine blade," *Composite Structures*, vol. 136, pp. 251-257, 2016.
- [7] O. C. T. Lars, E. Lund and T. T. Ole, "Structural collapse of a wind turbine blade. Part A: Static test and equivalent single layered models," *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 257-270, 2010.
- [8] P. Brøndsted, H. Lilholt and A. Lystrup, "Composite materials for wind power turbine blades," *Annual Review of Materials Research*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 505-538, 2005.
- [9] H. S. Wang, C. L. Hung and F. K. Chang, "Bearing failure of bolted composite joints .1. Experimental characterization," *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 1284-1313, 1996.
- [10] R. Karakuzu, B. M. Icten and Ö. Tekinsen, "Failure behavior of composite laminates with multi-pin loaded holes," *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 247-253, 2010.
- [11] M. E. Asl, C. Niezrecki, J. Sherwood and P. Avitabile, "Application of structural similitude theory in subcomponent testing of wind turbine blades," in 29th Annual Technical Conference of the American Society for Composites, La Jolla, 2014.
- [12] C. IVS, "Guide2Defects," Guide2Defect, 06 January 2016. [Online]. Available: www.guide2defect.com. [Accessed 17 December 2018].

February 2019

- [13] NaN, "Global Wind Service," Global Wind Service, 6 January 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://globalwindservice.com/>. [Accessed 14 January 2019].
- [14] A. Buliga, "Energy Workshops," Bladena A/S, 20 August 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://energyworkshops.sandia.gov/wind-workshops/2018-2/>. [Accessed 29 August 2018].
- [15] F. M. Jensen, M. Werk, A. Buliga, C. Berggreen, J. P. Waldbjoern, J. D. Sørensen, Y. Yang and E. S. Jensen, "Root and Transition zone (RATZ) and reduction O&M cost of WT blades," Bladena A/S, Roskilde, 2019.

Appendix D Data report: Full-scale test of LM58.7m blade with fatigue loads

The relevant document is included in a separate file.

February 2019

Appendix E Data report: Full-scale test of LM58.7m blade with combined static loads

The relevant document is included in a separate file.